



MULTILATERAL INVESTMENT FUND (MIF)



PROJECT PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT (MPPMR)

I. BASIC DATA (Amounts in US\$ millions)					
Country: Brazil Executing Agency (EA): Conf. De Assoc. Comerciais do Brasil Window: MT Has the project been reformulated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No (If yes see Section V)		Project Title: Apoyo a Centros de Mediación y Arbitraje AT Number: ATN/ATC Number : ATN/MT-6378-BR		Date of Donor Approval: Feb 3, 1999 Date of Contract Signature: Abr 16, 1999 Date of Contract Validity: Abr 16, 1999 Date of Eligibility for Disbursement: Oct 11, 1999 Original Date of Final Disbursement: Oct 16, 2003 Current Date of Final Disbursement: Oct 16, 2003	
CO Specialist: José Ml. Lizano Headquarters Staff Member Assigned: Date of Latest Report Update: Date of Latest Report Review by Representative: N.A.	Months in Execution From approval: 17 From signature: 15 Cumulative Extension of Original Disbursement Date (months): 0 % Deviation from original Disbursement Period:	Original TC Amount Current Amount: US \$ 1.5994 Disbursements: US \$0.159 % Disbursed: 10 Counterpart: US\$ 0.052	TC Modality: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NR <input type="checkbox"/> R <input type="checkbox"/> CR		
II. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS (IP)					
Components/Outputs:	Key Delivery Performance Indicators:	Classification of Component			
		HS	S	U	VU
1. Fortalecimiento CAMs 2. Capacitação Rede de Especialistas 3. Culturização	1. Realização estudos, preparação metodologia, elaboração estratégia, organização e capacitação 2. Capacitação a 180 docentes e difusores 3. Promoção, centro de informação permanente, programa difusão		X		
			x		
			x		
Assumptions Related to the Implementation of each Component		Probability			
		High		Low	
1. Suficiente capacidad organizacional del CACB 2. Suficiente capacidad organizacional del CACB 3. Suficiente capacidad organizacional del CACB 1, 2 y 3 Aceptação dos grupos interessados, suficiente respaldo legal * O artigo No. 7 da lei 9307 de arbitragem pode ter visos de inconstitucionalidade Etc.		X X X		X	
Summary Component Assumptions Classification (check one)					
Implementation Progress Summary Classification (IP): (A satisfactory or higher classification indicates, among other things, that the project will be completed during the currently approved disbursement period) <input type="checkbox"/> Highly Satisfactory (HS) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory (S) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MIF Unsatisfactory (U) <input type="checkbox"/> Very Unsatisfactory (VU)					
III. ACHIEVEMENT OF DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES (DO)					
Project Development Objective(s): 1. Fortalecimento nacional do sistema de métodos alternativos de resolução de conflitos. 2. Etc.		Key Performance Indicators: 1. Utilização dos sistemas e funcionamento dos centros 2. Etc.			
Assumptions Related to each Development Objective		Probability			
		High		Low	
1. 2. 3.					
Summary Development Objectives Assumptions Classification (check one)					
Expected Achievement of Development Objective Classification (DO): <input type="checkbox"/> Highly Probable (HP) <input type="checkbox"/> Probable (P) <input type="checkbox"/> Low Probability (LP) <input type="checkbox"/> Improbable (I)					



MULTILATERAL INVESTMENT FUND (MIF)



PROJECT PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT (MPPMR)

Briefly explain major factors taken into account to justify the DO Classification:

O projecto tem algum atraso na execução, tendo 28% do prazo de execução já andado.

Annex 2

IV. OVERVIEW OF PROJECT PERFORMANCE ISSUES

Check key reasons for Unsatisfactory/Very Unsatisfactory IP Classification or Low Probability/Improbable DO Classification

<input type="checkbox"/> Legislative approvals	<input type="checkbox"/> Consultant performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Environmental issues
<input type="checkbox"/> Borrower / executing agency commitment	<input type="checkbox"/> Inter-agency coordination	<input type="checkbox"/> Cost overrun
<input type="checkbox"/> Counterpart funding shortfall	<input type="checkbox"/> Supplier/contractor performance	<input type="checkbox"/> Qualified external audit
<input type="checkbox"/> Executing agency institutional capacity	<input type="checkbox"/> Project/component design	<input type="checkbox"/> Policy changes
<input type="checkbox"/> Organizational changes in executing agency	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract condition compliance delays	<input type="checkbox"/> Organizational changes
<input type="checkbox"/> Community/political opposition	<input type="checkbox"/> Bank efficiency (response delays)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Executing agency personnel changes
<input type="checkbox"/> Executing agency staff deficiency	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Procurement difficulties **	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (see Issues, Section VI)
	** Exigências do BID nos processos de aquisições	

V. PROJECT STATUS

Progress to date in implementing each component (Include reference to IP assumptions, if applicable)

1. La implementación general muestra un leve atraso.
 - 2.
 - 3.
- Etc.

Current Status of each Assumption related to DO

- 1.
 - 2.
- Etc.

Timeliness of Compliance with contractual conditions (If applicable)

No issues

“Qualified opinions” of external auditors

NA. Informes de auditoria aún no requeridos.

Reformulation (If applicable): Date of last reformulation _____. Briefly describe:

NA

Lessons learned (If applicable):

Potential Problems (If applicable):

VI. ISSUES AND ACTIONS

<u>Issue</u>	<u>Action</u>	<u>Responsible Unit</u>	<u>Date Action to be taken</u>	<u>Completed</u>
1. Procurar acordo com CONIMA, quanto à sua participação no projeto	Estabelecer um acordo	UEP	Immediate	<input type="checkbox"/>
2.				<input type="checkbox"/>
Etc.				

