



MULTILATERAL INVESTMENT FUND (MIF)



PROJECT PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT (MPPMR)

| I. BASIC DATA (Amounts in US\$ millions) | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|----|
| Country: Brazil Executing Agency (EA): Confederação de Associações Comerciais do Brasil Window: MT Has the project been reformulated: [] Yes [x] No (If yes see Section V) | | Project Title: Apoyo a Centros de Mediación y Arbitraje AT Number: TC-9802035 ATN/ATC Number : ATN/MT-6378-BR | | Date of Donor Approval: Feb 3, 1999 Date of Contract Signature: Abril 16, 1999 Date of Contract Validity: Abril 16, 1999 Date of Eligibility for Disbursement: Oct 11, 1999 Original Date of Final Disbursement: Oct 16, 2003 Current Date of Final Disbursement: Oct 16, 2003 | |
| CO Specialist: Gerardo Martínez Freyssinier Headquarters Staff Member Assigned: N/A Date of Latest Report Update: 30/06/01 Date of Latest Report Review by Representative: 15/07/01 | Months in Execution From approval: 29 From signature: 27 Cumulative Extension of Original Disbursement Date (months): 0 % Deviation from original Disbursement Period: | Original TC Amount Current Amount: US \$ 1.5994 Disbursements: US \$0.438 % Disbursed: 27.4% Counterpart: US\$ 0.052 | TC Modality: [x] NR [] R [] CR | | |
| II. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS (IP) | | | | | |
| Components/Outputs: | Key Delivery Performance Indicators: | Classification of Component | | | |
| | | HS | S | U | VU |
| 1. Fortalecimiento CAMs 2. Capacitação Rede de Especialistas 3. Culturização | 1. Realização estudos, preparação metodologia, elaboração estratégia, organização e capacitação 2. Capacitação a 180 docentes e difusores 3. Promoção, centro de informação permanente, programa difusão | X | X X | | |
| Assumptions Related to the Implementation of each Component 1. Suficiente capacidad organizacional del CACB 2. Suficiente capacidad organizacional del CACB 3. Suficiente capacidad organizacional del CACB 1, 2 y 3 Aceptação dos grupos interessados, suficiente respaldo legal * O artigo No. 7 da lei 9307 de arbitragem pode ter visos de inconstitucionalidade | | Probability High X X X X Low | | | |
| Summary Component Assumptions Classification (check one) | | | | | |
| Implementation Progress Summary Classification (IP): (A satisfactory or higher classification indicates, among other things, that the project will be completed during the currently approved disbursement period) [] Highly Satisfactory (HS) [S] Satisfactory (S) [] Unsatisfactory (U) [] Very Unsatisfactory (VU) | | | | | |
| III. ACHIEVEMENT OF DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES (DO) | | | | | |
| Project Development Objective(s): 1. Fortalecimento nacional do sistema de métodos alternativos de resolução de conflitos. 2. | | Key Performance Indicators: 1. Utilização dos sistemas e funcionamento dos centros 2. | | | |
| Assumptions Related to each Development Objective 1. 2. 3. | | Probability High Low | | | |
| Summary Development Objectives Assumptions Classification (check one) | | | | | |
| Expected Achievement of Development Objective Classification (DO): [] Highly Probable (HP) [x] Probable (P) [] Low Probability (LP) [] Improbable (I) | | | | | |



MULTILATERAL INVESTMENT FUND (MIF)



PROJECT PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT (MPPMR)

Briefly explain major factors taken into account to justify the DO Classification:

O Orgão Executor tem recobrado o impulso e no último ano tem tido um avance significativo na execução do Programa.

Annex 2

IV. OVERVIEW OF PROJECT PERFORMANCE ISSUES

Check key reasons for Unsatisfactory/Very Unsatisfactory IP Classification or Low Probability/Improbable DO Classification

- | | | |
|---|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Legislative approvals | <input type="checkbox"/> Consultant performance | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Environmental issues |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Borrower / executing agency commitment | <input type="checkbox"/> Inter-agency coordination | <input type="checkbox"/> Cost overrun |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Counterpart funding shortfall | <input type="checkbox"/> Supplier/contractor performance | <input type="checkbox"/> Qualified external audit |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Executing agency institutional capacity | <input type="checkbox"/> Project/component design | <input type="checkbox"/> Policy changes |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Organizational changes in executing agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Contract condition compliance delays | <input type="checkbox"/> Organizational changes |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community/political opposition | <input type="checkbox"/> Bank efficiency (response delays) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Executing agency personnel changes |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Executing agency staff deficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Procurement difficulties | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (see Issues, Section VI) |

V. PROJECT STATUS

Progress to date in implementing each component (Include reference to IP assumptions, if applicable)

1. La implementación que, hace un año mostraba un leve atraso, ha resumido con un excelente ritmo de ejecución.
 - 2.
 - 3.
- Etc.

Current Status of each Assumption related to DO

- 1.
 - 2.
- Etc.

Timeliness of Compliance with contractual conditions (If applicable)

No issues

“Qualified opinions” of external auditors

NA. Informes de auditoria aún no requeridos.

Reformulation (If applicable): Date of last reformulation _____. Briefly describe:

NA

Lessons learned (If applicable):

El impacto positivo que pueden tener cambios en el cuadro directivo no debe ser menospreciado.

Potential Problems (If applicable):

VI. ISSUES AND ACTIONS

| Issue | Action | Responsible Unit | Date Action to be taken | Completed |
|-------|--------|------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| 1. | | | | |
| 2. | | | | |

