

I. BASIC DATA (Amounts in US\$ millions)															
Country: Brazil Executing Agency (EA): Inst. Defesa do Consumidor (IDEC) Window: MH Has the project been reformulated: [] Yes [x] No (If yes see Section V)		Project Title: Apoyo a Protección del Consumidor: Sector Público AT Number: ATN/ATC Number : ATN/MH-6375-BR		Date of Donor Approval: Feb 3, 1999 Date of Contract Signature: Feb 12, 1999 Date of Contract Validity: Feb 12, 1999 Date of Eligibility for Disbursement: Abril 20, 1999 Original Date of Final Disbursement: Agosto 12, 2002 Current Date of Final Disbursement: Agosto 12, 2002											
CO Specialist: José Ml. Lizano Headquarters Staff Member Assigned: Luis Maia Date of Latest Report Update: Date of Latest Report Review by Representative: N.A.		Months in Execution from approval: 15 from signature: 15 Cumulative Extension of Original Disbursement Date (months): 0 % Deviation from original Disbursement period:	Original TC Amount Current Amount: US \$ 0.834 Disbursements: US \$0.307 % Disbursed: 30 Counterpart: US\$ 0.253	<u>TC Modality:</u> [x] NR [] R [] CR											
II. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS (IP)															
Components/Outputs:	Key Delivery Performance Indicators:	Classification of Component													
		HS	S	U	VU										
1. Avaliação do quadro normativo 2. Implementação planos de ação 3. Treinamento 4. Campanha de informação	1. Equipe de projeto criado e operando Definición de los planes y puesta en marcha Treinamento para a equipe de projeto Entrega de modelos para monitoramento de provisão de serviços públicos Equipe de projeto treinado e habilitado para defender interesses dos consumidores Efeto multiplicador expandido a outros 11 estados em 15 outras organizações de defesa do consumidor Workshops atingindo a 76,900 estudantes, em 70 escolas em S. Paulo; 37,500 estudantes em 50 escolas no Rio de Janeiro; 23,000 estudantes de 20 escolas em Curitiba. Campanha na TV deve atingir 3.5 milhões de pessoas. Campanha na radio deve atingir 604 mil pessoas Disseminação de conceitos básicos sobre os direitos e responsabilidades do consumidor, como os resultados do projeto disseminados aos setores interessados: negócios, governo, organizações de defesa do consumidor, sindicatos, ONGs, professores, representantes de sociedade civil, e outros		x x x x												
<u>Assumptions Related to the Implementation of each Component</u> 1. N.D. 2. Suficiente capacidad organizacional del IDEC 3. Suficiente capacidad organizacional del IDEC 4. Suficiente capacidad organizacional del IDEC Etc.		Probability <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>High</th> <th>Low</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>x</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>x</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>x</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>x</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				High	Low	x		x		x		x	
High	Low														
x															
x															
x															
x															
Summary Component Assumptions Classification (check one)															
<u>Implementation Progress Summary Classification (IP):</u> (A satisfactory or higher classification indicates, among other things, that the project will be completed during the currently approved disbursement period)															

[] Highly Satisfactory (HS)	[s] Satisfactory (S)	[] Unsatisfactory (U)	[] Very Unsatisfactory (VU)				
III. ACHIEVEMENT OF DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES (DO)							
Project Development Objective(s): 1. Incrementar la participación del consumidor en los procesos de privatización de servicios públicos, como en el monitoreamiento y control de esos servicios, en los estados de S. Paulo, Rio de Janeiro y Paraná		Key Performance Indicators: 1. N.D.					
Assumptions Related to each Development Objective 1. N.D. 2. 3.		Probability <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">High</td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">Low</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="height: 40px;"></td> <td style="height: 40px;"></td> </tr> </table>		High	Low		
High	Low						
Summary Development Objectives Assumptions Classification (check one)							
Expected Achievement of Development Objective Classification (DO): <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> [] Highly Probable (HP) [x] Probable (P) [] Low Probability (LP) [] Improbable (I) </div> Briefly explain major factors taken into account to justify the DO Classification: O IDEC realiza uma execucao satisfatória dos componentes do projeto e, existe o apoio legal para seu funcionamento							

Annex 2

IV. OVERVIEW OF PROJECT PERFORMANCE ISSUES					
Check key reasons for Unsatisfactory/Very Unsatisfactory IP Classification or Low Probability/Improbable DO Classification <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%; vertical-align: top;"> [] Legislative approvals [] Borrower / executing agency commitment [] Counterpart funding shortfall [] Executing agency institutional capacity [] Organizational changes in executing agency [] Community/political opposition [] Executing agency staff deficiency </td> <td style="width: 33%; vertical-align: top;"> [] Consultant performance [] Inter-agency coordination [] Supplier/contractor performance [] Project/component design [] Contract condition compliance delays [] Bank efficiency (response delays) [] Procurement difficulties </td> <td style="width: 33%; vertical-align: top;"> [] Environmental issues [] Cost overrun [] Qualified external audit [] Policy changes [] Organizational changes [] Executing agency personnel changes [] Other (see Issues, Section VI) </td> </tr> </table>			[] Legislative approvals [] Borrower / executing agency commitment [] Counterpart funding shortfall [] Executing agency institutional capacity [] Organizational changes in executing agency [] Community/political opposition [] Executing agency staff deficiency	[] Consultant performance [] Inter-agency coordination [] Supplier/contractor performance [] Project/component design [] Contract condition compliance delays [] Bank efficiency (response delays) [] Procurement difficulties	[] Environmental issues [] Cost overrun [] Qualified external audit [] Policy changes [] Organizational changes [] Executing agency personnel changes [] Other (see Issues, Section VI)
[] Legislative approvals [] Borrower / executing agency commitment [] Counterpart funding shortfall [] Executing agency institutional capacity [] Organizational changes in executing agency [] Community/political opposition [] Executing agency staff deficiency	[] Consultant performance [] Inter-agency coordination [] Supplier/contractor performance [] Project/component design [] Contract condition compliance delays [] Bank efficiency (response delays) [] Procurement difficulties	[] Environmental issues [] Cost overrun [] Qualified external audit [] Policy changes [] Organizational changes [] Executing agency personnel changes [] Other (see Issues, Section VI)			
V. PROJECT STATUS					
Progress to date in implementing each component (Include reference to IP assumptions, if applicable) 1. Realizado 2. Em andamento 3. Seminários a realizarse no segundo semestre de 2000. Contrapartida disponível nesse sentido. 4. Foram feitas publicações sobre serviços públicos privatizados, em agosto será lançada a cartilha prevista neste componente, o homepage estará pronto para set. 2000 e campanhas massivas rádio e TV e campanhas de informação e educação nas escolas : pendentes Current Status of each Assumption related to DO 1. 2. Etc. Timeliness of Compliance with contractual conditions (If applicable) No issues “Qualified opinions” of external auditors					

NA. Se requiere una auditoría final.

Reformulation (If applicable): Date of last reformulation _____ . Briefly describe:
NA

Lessons learned (If applicable):

Potential Problems (If applicable):

VI. ISSUES AND ACTIONS				
<u>Issue</u>	<u>Action</u>	<u>Responsible Unit</u>	<u>Date Action to be taken</u>	<u>Completed</u>
1. 2. Etc.				[] []