



MULTILATERAL INVESTMENT FUND (MIF)

PROJECT PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT (MPPMR)



I. BASIC DATA (Amounts in US\$ millions)

Country: Guyana	Project Title: Modernization of Telecommunications	Date of Donor Approval: July 12, 2000
Executing Agency (EA): Office of Prime Minister Window:	TC Number:	Date of Contract Signature: August 18, 2000
Has the project been reformulated:	ATN/ Number (s)	Date of Contract Validity:
[] Yes [x] No	ATN/MT-7047-GY	Date of Eligibility for Disbursement: Oct. 16, 2000
(If yes see Section V)		Original Date of Final Disbursement: August 18, 2003
		Current Date of Final Disbursement: August 18, 2003
CO Specialist: William Grisley	Months in Execution: 8	Original TC Amount: US\$1,100,00
Headquarters Staff Member Assigned: Juan Belt	from approval:	Current Amount: US\$1,100,000
Date of Latest Report Update: June 21, 2001	from signature: 12	Disbursements: US\$100,000
Date of Latest Report Review by Representative: December 2000	Cumulative Extension of Original	% Disbursed: 9%
	Disbursement Date (months): 0 months	Counterpart: US\$500,000
	% Deviation from original Disbursement	31%
	Period: none	
		TC Modality:
		[x] NR
		[] R
		[] CR

II. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS (IP)

Components/Outputs:	Key Delivery Performance Indicators:	Classification of Component			
		HS	S	U	VU
1. Strategy for the Modernization of the telecommunications sector.	2. Telecommunications sector strategy document and implementation of the strategy.		x		
2. Legal and regulatory framework reform.	3. Legal determination of GT&T Purchase Agreement and License and development of legislation/regulations in licensing, universal service fund, interconnection and spectrum management.		x		
3. Outreach programs for civil society and training for regulatory and legal professionals.	4. Audit of GT&T and network modeling.		x		
	5. Informed civil society and trained regulators, lawyers, and judges.				
4. Strengthening of the Public Utility Commission.	5. Public Utility Commission strengthened.		X		

Assumptions Related to the Implementation of each Component

1. The Senior Telecommunications hired on a timely basis.
2. Senior Telecommunications completes work on a timely basis.
3. Cabinet sub-Committee and Cabinet make decisions on a timely basis.
- etc.

Probability

High

x

x

x

X

Low

Implementation Progress Summary Classification (IP): (A satisfactory or higher classification indicates, among other things, that the project will be completed during the currently approved disbursement period)

[] Highly Satisfactory (HS)

[x] Satisfactory (S)

[] Unsatisfactory (U)

[] Very Unsatisfactory (VU)

III. ACHIEVEMENT OF DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES (DO)

Purpose (s):	Key Delivery Performance Indicators:	Classification of Purpose (s) Indicator (s)			
		HP	P	LP	I
1. A modernized telecommunications sector that is competitive.	1. The licensing of more that a single telephone service provider.	x			



2. A regulatory environment that promotes competition in the telecommunications sector.	2. Modern telecommunication regulations in place.	x			
3. A regulatory commission that can fulfill its mandate.	3. A strengthened Public Utilities Commission.	X			
4. A civil society that is informed of the benefits of a modern and competitive telecommunications sector	4. An informed civil society.	X			

<u>Assumptions Related to each Development Objective</u>	Probability	
	High	Low
1. Strong government commitment to liberalization of the telecommunications sector.	X	
2. Commitment by the monopoly telephone provider, GT&T, to negotiate in good faith.	x	
3. A Public Utilities Commission that is willing to be modernized.	x	
4. Broad interest by both civil society and potential investors in the modernization and liberalization of the telecommunications sector.	X	

Expected Achievement of Development Objective Classification (DO):

☒ Highly Probable (HP) ☐ Probable (P) ☐ Low Probability (LP) ☐ Improbable (I)

Briefly explain major factors taken into account to justify the DO Classification:

- The government has a very strong interest in promoting a competitive climate in the area of information and communications technology (ICT). The government sees the ICT sector as being a major player in the national economy in the medium to long term.
- There is significant interest on the part of local and foreign investors to invest in ICT activities.

IV. OVERVIEW OF PROJECT PERFORMANCE ISSUES

Check key reasons for Unsatisfactory/Very Unsatisfactory IP Classification or Low Probability/Improbable DO Classification

- | | | |
|---|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Legislative approvals | <input type="checkbox"/> Consultant performance | <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental issues |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Borrower / executing agency commitment | <input type="checkbox"/> Inter-agency coordination | <input type="checkbox"/> Cost overrun |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Counterpart funding shortfall | <input type="checkbox"/> Supplier/contractor performance | <input type="checkbox"/> Qualified external audit |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Executing agency institutional capacity | <input type="checkbox"/> Project/component design | <input type="checkbox"/> Policy changes |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Organizational changes in executing agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Contract condition compliance delays | <input type="checkbox"/> Organizational changes |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community/political opposition | <input type="checkbox"/> Bank efficiency (response delays) | <input type="checkbox"/> Executing agency personnel changes |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Executing agency staff deficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Procurement difficulties | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (see Issues, Section VI) |

V. PROJECT STATUSProgress to date in implementing each component (Include reference to IP assumptions, if applicable)

- The contract for the Senior Telecommunications Specialist was signed in October 2000. However, the contracted consultant requested that his contract be terminated and the government submitted this request to the Bank on March 7, 2001. The PEU then proceeded to negotiate with the second ranked candidate and this person was contracted on June 1, 2001. The project is thus about five months behind schedule.
- The remaining components of the project depend on progress made by the Senior Telecommunications Consultant in development of the strategy to modernize the telecommunications sector.
- etc.

Current Status of each Assumption related to DO

- The strategy for modernization of the telecommunications sector has just commenced and the government remains committed to the modernization of the sector.
- etc.

Timeliness of Compliance with contractual conditions (If applicable)

The project can be completed within the 30-month deadline.



MULTILATERAL INVESTMENT FUND (MIF)
PROJECT PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT (MPPMR)



“Qualified opinions” of external auditors

Reformulation (If applicable): Date of last reformulation _____. Briefly describe:

Lessons learned (If applicable):

Potential Problems (If applicable):

VI. ISSUES AND ACTIONS

<u>Issue</u>	<u>Action</u>	<u>Responsible Unit</u>	<u>Date Action to be taken</u>	<u>Completed</u>
1. 2. etc.				[] []



MULTILATERAL INVESTMENT FUND (MIF)
PROJECT PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT (MPPMR)

