



MULTILATERAL INVESTMENT FUND (MIF)

PROJECT PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT (MPPMR)



Annex 2

I. BASIC DATA (Amounts in US\$ millions)													
Country: Haiti Executing Agency (EA): INDEPCO Window: Has the project been reformulated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No (If yes see Section V)		Project Title: Strengthening of the Textile Subsector AT Number: MIF/AT – 278 of 13 aug 1999 ATN/ATC Number (s): ATN/ME-6646-HA 2001		Date of Donor Approval: 13 sep 1999 Date of Contract Signature: 11 nov 1999 Date of Contract Validity: 11 nov 1999 Date of Eligibility for Disbursement: 16 Feb 2000 Original Date of Final Disbursement: 11 nov 2003 Current Date of Final Disbursement: N/A									
CO Specialist: Ralph Denize Headquarters Staff Member Assigned: Dieter Wittowsky Date of Latest Report Update: n/a Date of Latest Report Review by Representative: Gerard Johnson		Months in Execution from approval: 21 from signature: 18 Cumulative Extension of Original Disbursement Date (months): 0 % Deviation from original Disbursement Period: n/a	Original TC Amount: 370,000 Current Amount: 370,000 Disbursements: 20,000 % Disbursed: 5.41 Counterpart: 190,000	TC Modality: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NR <input type="checkbox"/> R <input type="checkbox"/> CR									
II. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS (IP)													
Components/Outputs:	Key Delivery Performance Indicators:	Classification of Component											
		HS	S	U	VU								
1. Access to market through the Guaranty fund to Raw materials 2. Training services to SME of the Textile Subsector 3. Institutional strengthening of the Board and staff of INDEPCO	1. Microentrepreneurs have access to financing to purchase raw materials 2. Training offered at moderate cost to SME and unemployed interested in the subsector 3. Draft a Strategic Plan and the establishment an efficient financial, information and management system at INDEPCO.												
Assumptions Related to the Implementation of each Component 1. INDEPCO board will provide better services to a broader ranges of clients 2. Primary beneficiaries of the training will be small , microenterprises and the unemployed 3. Political instability may adversely affect the project		Probability <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>High</th> <th>Low</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>√</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>√</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>√</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				High	Low	√		√		√	
High	Low												
√													
√													
√													
Summary Component Assumptions Classification (check one)													
Implementation Progress Summary Classification (IP): (A satisfactory or higher classification indicates, among other things, that the project will be completed during the currently approved disbursement period) <input type="checkbox"/> Highly Satisfactory (HS) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory (S) <input type="checkbox"/> Unsatisfactory (U) <input type="checkbox"/> Very Unsatisfactory (VU)													
III. ACHIEVEMENT OF DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES (DO)													
Project Development Objective(s): 1. Increase access to market to the SME of the Textile sub sector 2. Provide access to training services to the SME and the unemployed of the Subsector 3. Strengthen institutionally INDEPCO		Key Performance Indicators: 1. Drafting of the Strategic Plan contracted to DAA 2. # of training sessions held monthly. 3. # of SME attended 4. Training sessions for Board members 5. Information system operational 6. Procedures manual drafted and in use. 7. Status of Board revised as needed											
Assumptions Related to each Development Objective 1. Achievement of political and economic stability 2. Board is committed to the Project 3. SMEs affiliated to INDEPCO pay their dues on time		Probability <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>High</th> <th>Low</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>√</td> <td>√</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>√</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				High	Low	√	√		√		
High	Low												
√	√												
	√												
Summary Development Objectives Assumptions Classification (check one)													
Expected Achievement of Development Objective Classification (DO): <input type="checkbox"/> Highly Probable (HP) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Probable (P) <input type="checkbox"/> Low Probability (LP) <input type="checkbox"/> Improbable (I)													



MULTILATERAL INVESTMENT FUND (MIF)

PROJECT PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT (MPPMR)



- DAA has prepared for INDEPCO the baseline for the performance indicators. The general assembly approved the modified statutes of INDEPCO. The terms of reference for the Consultants that will implement the component 2 and 3 of the project have been prepared and approved by the Bank. INDEPCO has recently signed a document with the Banque haitienne de Développement about the procedures to manage the Guaranty Fund for the raw materials allowing members of INDEPCO to finance the purchase of raw materials needed to realize private sector contracts and to provide access to credit the small and medium enterprises in the Textile sector. The project has had a slow disbursement rate. However, now that the most difficult conditions prior to the first disbursement are either met or close to be met, we expect a more rapid pace in the few months.

Annex 2

IV. OVERVIEW OF PROJECT PERFORMANCE ISSUES

Check key reasons for Unsatisfactory/Very Unsatisfactory IP Classification or Low Probability/Improbable DO Classification

- | | | |
|---|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Legislative approvals | <input type="checkbox"/> Consultant performance | <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental issues |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Borrower / executing agency commitment | <input type="checkbox"/> Inter-agency coordination | <input type="checkbox"/> Cost overrun |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Counterpart funding shortfall | <input type="checkbox"/> Supplier/contractor performance | <input type="checkbox"/> Qualified external audit |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Executing agency institutional capacity | <input type="checkbox"/> Project/component design | <input type="checkbox"/> Policy changes |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Organizational changes in executing agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Contract condition compliance delays | <input type="checkbox"/> Organizational changes |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community/political opposition | <input type="checkbox"/> Bank efficiency (response delays) | <input type="checkbox"/> Executing agency personnel changes |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Executing agency staff deficiency | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Procurement difficulties | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (see Issues, Section VI) |

V. PROJECT STATUS

Progress to date in implementing each component (Include reference to IP assumptions, if applicable)

N/A

Current Status of each Assumption related to DO

1. Haiti still politically unstable
2. Board still committed to project
3. Awaiting results of the survey.

Timeliness of Compliance with contractual conditions (If applicable)

“Qualified opinions” of external auditors

N/a

Reformulation (If applicable): Date of last reformulation _____. Briefly describe:

N/a

Lessons learned (If applicable):

N/a

Potential Problems (If applicable):

VI. ISSUES AND ACTIONS

Issue	Action	Responsible Unit	Date Action to be taken	Completed
1.				<input type="checkbox"/>
2.				<input type="checkbox"/>
etc.				



MULTILATERAL INVESTMENT FUND (MIF)
PROJECT PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT (MPPMR)



--	--	--	--	--

