

RULING CASE NO. 6 *
MARCEL DAUMEC vs. IDB

Washington, D.C. August six, nineteen eighty-five.

The President has issued the following ruling recorded in these proceedings:

Whereas, the complainant has filed for review of the finding that the complaint is inadmissible, and whereas:

- I. The adjective "consecutive" in reference to a set number of days is the equivalent of "calendar";
- II. The very purpose of the amendment of Articles II of the Statute and 15(2) of the Rules was to replace the term of "60 working days" by that of "90 consecutive days", in other words, 90 calendar days, which are approximately equivalent in length, or with the view to prevent difficulties in the calculation of "working days" because non-working days differ among IDB member countries.
- III. In addition, Article 27 of the Rules provides that "for the computation of the time periods indicated in these Rules, every day shall be counted, whether working days, week-ends or holidays" and that includes the 90-day period to file the complaint, computed as from the date indicated in Article 15(2) of the Rules,

Therefore;

Complainant's petition for review is denied.

Eugenio Velasco
Executive Secretary

* Executive Secretariat translation, the Spanish text alone being authoritative.