



Operation Number: **HA-L1056**
Year- PMR Cycle: **First period Jan-Jun 2015**
Last Update: **11/10/2015**
PMR Validation Stage: **Validated by Representative**

Chief of Operations validation date: **11/10/2015**
Division Chief validation date: **11/10/2015**
Country Representative validation date: **11/11/2015**

Inter-American Development Bank - IDB
Office of Strategic Planning and Development Effectiveness

Operation Profile

Basic Data

Operation name:	Land tenure security program in rural areas	Loan Number:	2720/GR-HA
Executing Agency (EA):	COMITE INTERMINISTERIEL D'AMENAGEMENT DU TERRITOIRE		
Team Leader:	Bidault,Caroline	Sector/Subsector:	LAND ADMINISTRATION AND MANAGEMENT
Operation Type:	Loan Operation	Overall Stage:	Disbursing (From eligibility until all the loans are closed).
Lending Instrument:	Investment Loan	Country:	HAITI
Borrower:	REPUBLIQUE D' HAITI	Convergence related Operation(s):	

Total Cost and Source

	Original IDB	Current Active IDB	Local Counterpart	Co-Financing/Country	Total operation cost - Original Estimate
HA-L1056	\$27,000,000.00	\$27,000,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$27,000,000.00

Available Funds (US\$)

	Current IDB	Disb. Amount to Date	% Disbursed	Undisbursed Balance
HA-L1056	\$27,000,000.00	\$4,617,428.77	17.10%	\$22,382,571.23


Environmental and Social Safeguards

Main Operation	
Impacts Category:	
Safeguard Performance Rating:	
Safeguard Performance Rating - Rationale:	

Reformulation Information

Main Operation	
Was/Were the objective(s) of this operation reformulated?	NO
Date of approval:	

Expense Categories by Loan Contract (cumulative values)



Results Matrix

Impacts

Impact:	1 Increase in agricultural productivity in targeted areas									
Observation:										
Indicators	Flags*	Unit of Measure	Baseline	Baseline Year	Means of verification	Observations		2012	2017	EOP
1.1 Difference in the increase of agricultural yields/ha or revenues/ha between the group of beneficiaries and control group		%	0.00	2012	Program impact evaluation report	The randomized process guarantees an initial difference between the beneficiary group and the control group equal to zero	P	0.00	20.00	20.00
							P(a)	0.00	20.00	20.00
							A			

Impact:	2 Increase in agricultural investments									
Observation:										
Indicators	Flags*	Unit of Measure	Baseline	Baseline Year	Means of verification	Observations		2012	2017	EOP
2.1 Difference in the index of agricultural investments (based on reported investments in fixed and movable farm assets) between the group of beneficiaries and control		%	0.00	2012	Means of verification: Program impact evaluation report	Comments: The randomized process guarantees an initial difference between the beneficiary group and the control group equal to zero	P	0.00	20.00	20.00
							P(a)	0.00	20.00	20.00
							A			

Impact:	3 Improvement in natural resources management in targeted areas									
Observation:										
Indicators	Flags*	Unit of Measure	Baseline	Baseline Year	Means of verification	Observations		2012	2017	EOP
3.1 Difference in the probability and intensity of plot-level long-term productivity enhancing investments (such as terracing, reforestation, irrigation).		%	0.00	2012	Program impact evaluation report	Intensity to be measured as % of total hectares under a given technology investment. The randomized process guarantees an initial difference between the beneficiary group and the control group equal to zero.	P	0.00	20.00	20.00
							P(a)	0.00	20.00	20.00
							A			

 RF - RF Indicator  SI - Sector Indicator  CI - Country Indicator  PG - Pro-Gender  PE - Pro-Ethnicity

Outcomes


Outcome:	1 Increased land transactions										
Observation:											
Indicators		Flags*	Unit of Measure	Baseline	Baseline Year	Means of verification	Observations	2012		2017	EOP
1.1 Difference in the number of hectares of land sold and leased between the group of beneficiaries and control			%	0.00	2012	Program impact evaluation report	The randomized process guarantees an initial difference between the beneficiary group and the control group equal to zero.	P	0.00	10.00	10.00
								P(a)	0.00	10.00	10.00
								A			
Outcome:	2 Increased land value										
Observation:											
Indicators		Flags*	Unit of Measure	Baseline	Baseline Year	Means of verification	Observations	2012		2017	EOP
2.1 Difference in the estimated land value (prices/ha) between the group of beneficiaries and control			%	0.00	2012	Program impact evaluation report	The randomized process guarantees an initial difference between the beneficiary group and the control group equal to zero.	P	0.00	10.00	10.00
								P(a)	0.00	10.00	10.00
								A			

2.2 Difference in prices of recent land transactions between the group of beneficiaries and control						The randomized process guarantees an initial difference between the beneficiary group and the control group equal to zero.	P	0.00	10.00	10.00
							P(a)	0.00	10.00	10.00
							A			
		%	0.00	2012	Program impact evaluation report					

Outcome:	3 Improved land administration services at the national level
Observation:	

Indicators	Flags*	Unit of Measure	Baseline	Baseline Year	Means of verification	Observations	2012		2017	EOP
3.1 Average time for parcel registration (North and South)		Days	301.00	2012	CIAT monitoring information system.		P	301.00	66.00	66.00
	P(a)						301.00	66.00	66.00	
	A									
3.2 Average cost for parcel registration (North and South)		US\$	600.00	2012	CIAT monitoring information system.		P	600.00	150.00	150.00
	P(a)						600.00	150.00	150.00	
	A									

Outcome:	4 Increased land tenure security and/or improved access to land tenure administration services in the pilot areas
Observation:	

Indicators	Flags*	Unit of Measure	Baseline	Baseline Year	Means of verification	Observations	2012	2017	EOP
4.1 Households benefitting from increased land tenure security and/or improved access to land tenure administration services in the pilot areas	 RF	Farmers	0.00	2012	Evaluation d'impact		P		30,000.00
							P(a)		30,000.00
							A		

Outcome:	5 Increased land registration in pilot areas
Observation:	

Indicators	Flags*	Unit of Measure	Baseline	Baseline Year	Means of verification	Observations	2012		2017	EOP
5.1 Beneficiary farmers with new registered parcels		Farmers	0.00	2012	ONACA database	Parcels registered with DGI will be communicated to ONACA	P			1,000.00
							P(a)			1,000.00
							A			

 RF - RF Indicator

 SI - Sector Indicator

 CI - Country Indicator

 PG - Pro-Gender

 PE - Pro-Ethnicity

Component I: Cadastre, tenure clarification and formalization in targeted rural areas		Physical Progress			Financial Progress		
Outputs	Unit of Measure		2015	EOP		2015	EOP
Parcels surveyed, with tenure clarified and recorded into the database	Parcels	P	4,000.00	65,031.00	P	4,528,845.00	14,203,880.26
		P(a)	10,000.00	65,031.00	P(a)	4,528,845.00	14,203,880.26
		A	13,630.00	19,254.00	A	1,139,972.42	2,078,631.42
Private parcels formalized (with notary act)	Parcels	P	150.00	1,000.00	P	150,000.00	150,000.00
		P(a)	150.00	1,000.00	P(a)	150,000.00	150,000.00
		A	0.00	0.00	A	0.00	0.00
Component II: Modernization of the Land Administration System		Physical Progress			Financial Progress		
Outputs	Unit of Measure		2015	EOP		2015	EOP
GNSS (Global Navigation Satellite System) Continually Operating Receiving Stations upgraded and/or installed	Stations	P	16.00	16.00	P	1,300,000.00	2,300,000.00
		P(a)	16.00	16.00	P(a)	1,785,000.00	2,300,000.00
		A	0.00	0.00	A	219,776.14	219,776.14
Judges and clerks trained in the resolution of land tenure conflicts	Judges and clerks	P	37.00	50.00	P	100,000.00	200,000.00
		P(a)	37.00	50.00	P(a)	100,000.00	200,000.00
		A	0.00	0.00	A	0.00	0.00
Study on judicial role in land tenure and administration finalized	Study	P	1.00	1.00	P	200,000.00	200,000.00
		P(a)	1.00	1.00	P(a)	200,000.00	200,000.00
		A	0.00	0.00	A	0.00	0.00
Local land administrative offices refurbished (Office National du Cadastre/Direction Générale des Impôts - ONACA/DGI)	Offices	P	5.00	5.00	P	680,000.00	780,000.00
		P(a)	5.00	5.00	P(a)	680,000.00	780,000.00
		A	0.00	0.00	A	0.00	0.00
GOH Land Agency staff, judges and private sector professionals trained in land tenure, property rights and land administration	Staff	P	40.00	170.00	P	325,000.00	955,010.00
		P(a)	40.00	170.00	P(a)	325,000.00	755,010.00
		A	0.00	0.00	A	0.00	162,736.00
Persons trained with a masters degree in land administration	Trainees	P		3.00	P	91,350.00	195,350.00
		P(a)		3.00	P(a)	30,000.00	195,350.00
		A		0.00	A	8,500.00	28,541.71
Notaries equipped with new equipment and software in the pilot areas	Notaries	P	19.00	19.00	P	200,000.00	475,000.00
		P(a)	19.00	19.00	P(a)	250,000.00	475,000.00
		A	5.00	5.00	A	61,500.00	61,500.00
Public and private sector surveyors trained in the use of the Geographic Positioning Network	Surveyors	P		30.00	P		0.00
		P(a)		40.00	P(a)		340,642.00
		A		40.00	A		340,642.00
Local land administrative offices (ONACA/DGI) equipped	Offices	P	5.00	5.00	P	360,000.00	360,000.00
		P(a)	5.00	5.00	P(a)	360,000.00	360,000.00
		A	0.00	0.00	A	0.00	0.00
Study on credit mechanisms	Study	P	1.00	1.00	P	100,000.00	100,000.00
		P(a)	1.00	1.00	P(a)	100,000.00	100,000.00
		A	0.00	0.00	A	0.00	0.00
Analyses of the local land ownership situations	Analyses	P	1.00	4.00	P	20,000.00	100,000.00
		P(a)	1.00	4.00	P(a)	40,000.00	100,000.00
		A	0.00	0.00	A	0.00	0.00
Surveyors trained with new equipment and software	Surveyors	P	18.00	18.00	P	580,000.00	1,080,000.00
		P(a)	12.00	18.00	P(a)	559,679.00	930,000.00
		A	7.00	13.00	A	15,000.00	185,321.00
Component III: Administration and Supervision							
Component IV: Other costs							

Other Cost		2015	Cost
Monitoring and Evaluation, Impact Evaluation, Audit, Contingencies, etc	P	\$942,123.00	\$2,486,369.00
	P(a)	\$942,123.00	\$2,485,717.74
	A	\$13,721.02	\$106,197.47
Program Coordinating Unit, Equipment and Operating Expenses, Accounting System.	P	\$820,702.00	\$3,424,400.00
	P(a)	\$920,702.00	\$3,424,400.00
	A	\$457,199.68	\$1,339,663.68
Total Cost		2015	Total Cost
	P	\$10,398,020.00	\$27,010,009.26
	P(a)	\$10,971,349.00	\$17,944,320.26
	A	\$1,915,669.26	\$4,523,009.42

Changes to the Matrix

No information related to this operation.

Please note that the Overall Stage represents the stage of the operation at the time of this report’s publication, which might not necessarily match the stage of the operation during the PMR Cycle to which the report pertains.