

PROJECT PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT (MPPMR)

I. BASIC DATA (Amounts in US\$ millions)							
Country: Brasil		Project Title: Trade Unions Leadership Training AT Number: ATN/MH-6951-BR ATN/ATC Number (s)		Date of Donor Approval: 26 / 04 / 2000			
Executing Agency (EA): BR – DIEESE Window: MH Has the project been reformulated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No (If yes see Section V)				Date of Contract Signature: Não assinado Date of Contract Validity: Date of Eligibility for Disbursement: Original Date of Final Disbursement: Current Date of Final Disbursement:			
CO Specialist: Roberto Correia Lima Headquarters Staff Member Assigned: ARIEIRA M Date of Latest Report Update: N.A. Date of Latest Report Review by Representative: 03 / 08 / 2000		Months in Execution from approval: 0 from signature: 0 Cumulative Extension of Original Disbursement Date (months): 0 % Deviation from original Disbursement period:		Original TC Amount: 0 Current Amount: 0 Disbursements: 0 % Disbursed: 0 Counterpart: 0		<u>TC Modality:</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NR <input type="checkbox"/> R <input type="checkbox"/> CR	
II. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS (IP)							
Components/Outputs:		Key Delivery Performance Indicators:		Classification of Component			
				HS	S	U	VU
1. Aperfeiçoamento dos instrumentos de formação de dirigentes sindicais para os processos de negociação; 2. Divulgação, difusão e controle da demanda;		1. 16 conjuntos nacionais preparados e validados; 2. 40 especialistas capazes de negociar de forma ampla; 3. 155 instrutores credenciados no final do programa; 4. Rede de instrução e negociação em funcionamento;			X		
					X		
<u>Assumptions Related to the Implementation of each Component</u>				Probability			
				High		Low	
1. UGP constituída; 2. Convênios de contrapartida em vigência; Adesão das centrais e entidades sindicais, inclusive do Mercosul, na proposta de capacitação;				X		X	
Summary Component Assumptions Classification (check one)							
<u>Implementation Progress Summary Classification (IP):</u> (A satisfactory or higher classification indicates, among other things, that the project will be completed during the currently approved disbursement period)							
<input type="checkbox"/> Highly Satisfactory (HS) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory (S) <input type="checkbox"/> Unsatisfactory (U) <input type="checkbox"/> Very Unsatisfactory (VU)							
III. ACHIEVEMENT OF DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES (DO)							
<u>Project Development Objective(s):</u>				<u>Key Performance Indicators:</u>			
1. 2. etc.				1. 2. etc.			
<u>Assumptions Related to each Development Objective</u>				Probability			
				High		Low	
1. Fortalecimento e ampliação das organizações sindicais no local de trabalho; 2. Mudanças na legislação laboratorial, que favorecem e fortalecem as negociações; 3.				X		X	
Summary Development Objectives Assumptions Classification (check one)							
<u>Expected Achievement of Development Objective Classification (DO):</u>							
<input type="checkbox"/> Highly Probable (HP) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Probable (P) <input type="checkbox"/> Low Probability (LP) <input type="checkbox"/> Improbable (I)							



MULTILATERAL INVESTMENT FUND (MIF)



PROJECT PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT (MPPMR)

Briefly explain major factors taken into account to justify the DO Classification:

Annex 2

IV. OVERVIEW OF PROJECT PERFORMANCE ISSUES

Check key reasons for Unsatisfactory/Very Unsatisfactory IP Classification or Low Probability/Improbable DO Classification

- | | | |
|---|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Legislative approvals | <input type="checkbox"/> Consultant performance | <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental issues |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Borrower / executing agency commitment | <input type="checkbox"/> Inter-agency coordination | <input type="checkbox"/> Cost overrun |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Counterpart funding shortfall | <input type="checkbox"/> Supplier/contractor performance | <input type="checkbox"/> Qualified external audit |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Executing agency institutional capacity | <input type="checkbox"/> Project/component design | <input type="checkbox"/> Policy changes |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Organizational changes in executing agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Contract condition compliance delays | <input type="checkbox"/> Organizational changes |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community/political opposition | <input type="checkbox"/> Bank efficiency (response delays) | <input type="checkbox"/> Executing agency personnel changes |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Executing agency staff deficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Procurement difficulties | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (see Issues, Section VI) |

V. PROJECT STATUS

Progress to date in implementing each component (Include reference to IP assumptions, if applicable)

1. N.A. Projeto não iniciado.

Current Status of each Assumption related to DO

1. N.A. Projeto não iniciado.

Timeliness of Compliance with contractual conditions (If applicable)

N.A. Projeto não iniciado.

“Qualified opinions” of external auditors

N.A. Projeto não iniciado.

Reformulation (If applicable): Date of last reformulation _____. Briefly describe:

Lessons learned (If applicable):

Potential Problems (If applicable):

VI. ISSUES AND ACTIONS

<u>Issue</u>	<u>Action</u>	<u>Responsible Unit</u>	<u>Date Action to be taken</u>	<u>Completed</u>
1.				<input type="checkbox"/>
2.				<input type="checkbox"/>
etc.				

