



MULTILATERAL INVESTMENT FUND (MIF)



PROJECT PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT (MPPMR)

I. BASIC DATA (Amounts in US\$ millions)					
Country: Uruguay Executing Agency (EA): FUAAM Window: IIIa Has the project been reformulated: [] Yes [x] No (If yes see Section V)		Project Title: Fortalecimiento institucional de FUAAM AT Number: 302 ATN/ATC Number (s): ME-6741-UR		Date of Donor Approval: Date of Contract Signature: 19.11.99 Date of Contract Validity: 19.11.99 Date of Eligibility for Disbursement: Original Date of Final Disbursement: 19.02.03 Current Date of Final Disbursement: 19.02.03	
CO Specialist: Alfredo Echegaray Headquarters Staff Member Assigned: María Victoria Saenz de Lleras Date of Latest Report Update: 10.7.00 Date of Latest Report Review by Representative: 12.7.00	Months in Execution from approval: from signature: 8 Cumulative Extension of Original Disbursement Date (months): 0 % Deviation from original Disbursement period: 0	Original TC Amount: 0.250 Current Amount: 0.250 Disbursements: 0.05 % Disbursed: 20% Counterpart: 0.227	TC Modality: [x] NR [] R [] CR		
II. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS (IP)					
Components/Outputs:	Key Delivery Performance Indicators:	Classification of Component			
		HS	S	U	VU
1. Tecnología crediticia mejorada 2. Directivos y personal de FUAAM capacitados 3. Administración de FUAAM mejorada			X X X		
Assumptions Related to the Implementation of each Component 1. 2. 3. 4. etc. ----- Summary Component Assumptions Classification (check one)		Probability			
		High		Low	
Implementation Progress Summary Classification (IP): (A satisfactory or higher classification indicates, among other things, that the project will be completed during the currently approved disbursement period) [] Highly Satisfactory (HS) [x] Satisfactory (S) [] Unsatisfactory (U) [] Very Unsatisfactory (VU)					
III. ACHIEVEMENT OF DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES (DO)					
Project Development Objective(s): Situación institucional, financiera y administrativa de FUAAM fortalecida		Key Performance Indicators: Al finalizar el Programa: Clientes aumentan a 3621; Cartera en riesgo +30d = 2%; Reservas/cartera en riesgo = 100%; Rendimiento s/cartera = 14.4%; clientes/oficial de crédito= 402; gastos adm/cartera promedio=13%			
Assumptions Related to each Development Objective 1. 2. 3. ----- Summary Development Objectives Assumptions Classification (check one)		Probability			
		High		Low	
Expected Achievement of Development Objective Classification (DO):					



MULTILATERAL INVESTMENT FUND (MIF)



PROJECT PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT (MPPMR)

☐ Highly Probable (HP) ☒ Probable (P) ☐ Low Probability (LP) ☐ Improbable (I)

Briefly explain major factors taken into account to justify the DO Classification:

Annex 2

IV. OVERVIEW OF PROJECT PERFORMANCE ISSUES

Check key reasons for Unsatisfactory/Very Unsatisfactory IP Classification or Low Probability/Improbable DO Classification

- | | | |
|---|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Legislative approvals | <input type="checkbox"/> Consultant performance | <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental issues |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Borrower / executing agency commitment | <input type="checkbox"/> Inter-agency coordination | <input type="checkbox"/> Cost overrun |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Counterpart funding shortfall | <input type="checkbox"/> Supplier/contractor performance | <input type="checkbox"/> Qualified external audit |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Executing agency institutional capacity | <input type="checkbox"/> Project/component design | <input type="checkbox"/> Policy changes |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Organizational changes in executing agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Contract condition compliance delays | <input type="checkbox"/> Organizational changes |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community/political opposition | <input type="checkbox"/> Bank efficiency (response delays) | <input type="checkbox"/> Executing agency personnel changes |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Executing agency staff deficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Procurement difficulties | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (see Issues, Section VI) |

V. PROJECT STATUS

Progress to date in implementing each component (Include reference to IP assumptions, if applicable)

El programa está en su fase inicial de ejecución. Recientemente han comenzado a trabajar los consultores en tecnología crediticia, lo que constituye el trabajo de más largo aliento del Programa.

Current Status of each Assumption related to DO

Timeliness of Compliance with contractual conditions (If applicable)

“Qualified opinions” of external auditors

Reformulation (If applicable): Date of last reformulation _____. Briefly describe:

Lessons learned (If applicable):

Potential Problems (If applicable):

VI. ISSUES AND ACTIONS

Issue	Action	Responsible Unit	Date Action to be taken	Completed
1.				<input type="checkbox"/>
2.				<input type="checkbox"/>
etc.				



MULTILATERAL INVESTMENT FUND (MIF)



PROJECT PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT (MPPMR)

--	--	--	--	--

