



MULTILATERAL INVESTMENT FUND (MIF)

PROJECT PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT (MPPMR)



I. BASIC DATA (Amounts in US\$ millions)					
Country: PARAGUAY Executing Agency (EA): FUNDACIÓN PARAGUAYA DE COOPERACIÓN Y DESARROLLO (FUPACODE) Window: Has the project been reformulated: [] Yes [X] No (If yes see Section V)		Project Title: PROGRAMA DE FORMACIÓN DE JÓVENES EMPRENDEDORES TC Number: TC-00-09-00-2-RS ATN/ATC Number (s) ATN/MH-7405-PR		Date of Donor Approval: 25-04-01 Date of Contract Signature: 20-06-01 Date of Contract Validity: 20-06-01 Date of Eligibility for Disbursement: Original Date of Final Disbursement: 20-10-03 Current Date of Final Disbursement: 20-10-03	
CO Specialist: Ortiz, Carlos Headquarters Staff Member Assigned: CUADRA, G. Date of Latest Report Update: 29-06-00 Date of Latest Report Review by Representative: 29-06-00	Months in Execution from approval: 2 from signature: 1/2 Cumulative Extension of Original Disbursement Date (months): % Deviation from original Disbursement period:		Original TC Amount: 0,205 Current Amount: 0,205 Disbursements: % Disbursed: Counterpart: 0,258	TC Modality: [x] NR [] R [] CR	
II. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS (IP)					
Components/Outputs:	Key Delivery Performance Indicators:	Classification of Component			
		HS	S	U	VU
1. Implementación de los Programas Junior Achievement en cada país (subcomponente Paraguay)	1. Escuelas rurales y urbano marginales acceden al programa de educación económica JA		X		
2. Fortalecimiento institucional del programa JA en cada país	1. Base de datos desarrollada 2. Programa de visitas a empresas concretado 3. Campaña de difusión promociona el programa JA		X X X		
<u>Assumptions Related to the Implementation of each Component</u>		Probability			
		High		Low	
1. Hay necesidad tanto de las escuelas como del empresariado por relaciona la educaión con el mundo del trabajo.		X			
2. Los incentivos fomentan el mejor desarrollo del programa		X			
Implementation Progress Summary Classification (IP): (A satisfactory or higher classification indicates, among other things, that the project will be completed during the currently approved disbursement period) [] Highly Satisfactory (HS) [X] Satisfactory (S) [] Unsatisfactory (U) [] Very Unsatisfactory (VU)					
III. ACHIEVEMENT OF DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES (DO)					
Purpose(s) (Project Development Objective(s)): 1. Proporcionar a jóvenes de escasos recursos, preferentemente de origen indígena de Paraguay asisten a la escuela secundaria, competencias y habilidades como las de capacidad de emprender, asumir riesgos y tomar decisiones que les permite insertarse en el mundo laboral.	Key Delivery Performance Indicators: 1. 70% de los estudiantes participantes de por lo menos 3 cursos mejoran sus competencias y habilidades. 2. 50% de las empresas del sector publico y privado se involucran en el programa	HS	S	U	VU
			X		
			X		
<u>Assumptions Related to each Development Objective</u>		Probability			
		High		Low	
1. Las escuelas están abiertas a desarrollar el espíritu emprendedor		x			
2. Las escuelas técnicas demandan mecanismos para mejorar inserción laboral de alumnos		x			
3. Hay interés de los empresarios de apoyar la iniciativa y de los profesionales de participar como voluntarios.					
Expected Achievement of Development Objective Classification (DO):					
[] Highly Probable (HP)		[X] Probable (P)		[] Low Probability (LP)	
				[] Improbable (I)	



MULTILATERAL INVESTMENT FUND (MIF)
PROJECT PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT (MPPMR)



Briefly explain major factors taken into account to justify the DO Classification:

Annex

2

IV. OVERVIEW OF PROJECT PERFORMANCE ISSUES

Check key reasons for Unsatisfactory/Very Unsatisfactory IP Classification or Low Probability/Improbable DO Classification

- | | | |
|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Legislative approvals
<input type="checkbox"/> Borrower / executing agency commitment
<input type="checkbox"/> Counterpart funding shortfall
<input type="checkbox"/> Executing agency institutional capacity
<input type="checkbox"/> Organizational changes in executing agency
<input type="checkbox"/> Community/political opposition

<input type="checkbox"/> Executing agency staff deficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Consultant performance
<input type="checkbox"/> Inter-agency coordination
<input type="checkbox"/> Supplier/contractor performance
<input type="checkbox"/> Project/component design
<input type="checkbox"/> Contract condition compliance delays

<input type="checkbox"/> Bank efficiency (response delays)
<input type="checkbox"/> Procurement difficulties | <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental issues
<input type="checkbox"/> Cost overrun
<input type="checkbox"/> Qualified external audit
<input type="checkbox"/> Policy changes
<input type="checkbox"/> Organizational changes
<input type="checkbox"/> Executing agency personnel changes
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (see Issues, Section VI) |
|---|--|---|

V. PROJECT STATUS

Progress to date in implementing each component (Include reference to IP assumptions, if applicable)

1. En proceso de cumplir la condiciones para el primer desembolso.
- 2.
- 3.
- etc.

Current Status of each Assumption related to DO

- 1.
- 2.
- etc.

Timeliness of Compliance with contractual conditions (If applicable)

“Qualified opinions” of external auditors

Reformulation (If applicable): Date of last reformulation _____. Briefly describe:

Lessons learned (If applicable):

Potential Problems (If applicable):

VI. ISSUES AND ACTIONS

<u>Issue</u>	<u>Action</u>	<u>Responsible Unit</u>	<u>Date Action to be taken</u>	<u>Completed</u>
1.				<input type="checkbox"/>
2.				<input type="checkbox"/>