



MULTILATERAL INVESTMENT FUND (MIF)

PROJECT PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT (MPPMR)

Appendix 1
9 – 12



Annex 2

I. BASIC DATA (Amounts in US\$ millions)					
Country: RG Executing Agency (EA): HQ Window: MH Has the project been reformulated: [] Yes [X] No (If yes see Section V)		Project Title: University Networks for Applied Studies. AT Number: TC-99-04-01-0 ATN/ATC Number (s) ATN/MH-6631- RG		Date of Donor Approval: 1Sep99 Date of Contract Signature: n/a Date of Contract Validity: n/a Date of Eligibility for Disbursement: n/a Original Date of Final Disbursement: n/a Current Date of Final Disbursement: n/a	
CO Specialist: Headquarters Staff Member Assigned: HERTZ K Date of Latest Report Update: 21Aug00 Date of Latest Report Review by Representative: 21Aug00	Months in Execution from approval: (12 months) from signature: n/a Cumulative Extension of Original Disbursement Date (months): % Deviation from original Disbursement period:	Original TC Amount: 2,800,000 Current Amount: 2,800,000 Disbursements: % Disbursed: Counterpart:	TC Modality: [X] NR [] R [] CR		
II. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS (IP)					
Components/Outputs:	Key Delivery Performance Indicators:	Classification of Component			
		HS	S	U	VU
1. Demonstration projects	1.1 Infrastructure teaching and training programs / materials created (including case studies): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 750 professionals have taken the courses 15 case studies prepared 	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. Training of faculty / network development	1.2 Strengthening of capacity in Infrastructure privatization, finance and regulation; 1.3 New or complementary courses offered by the universities in the above mentioned areas with the assistance of the competitively selected "institutional partner" 1.4 Sharing of case studies 1.5 Web site development on the above mentioned topics	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
3.	2				
4.	3				
etc.	etc.				
<u>Assumptions Related to the Implementation of each Component</u>		Probability			
1. Selected universities have some experience and knowledge on the above topics 2. training needs in the universities respective countries exists in the public as well as private sector 3. Co-financing as well as long term sustainability of the teaching programs ensured 4. etc.		High		Low	
1. Selected universities have some experience and knowledge on the above topics 2. training needs in the universities respective countries exists in the public as well as private sector 3. Co-financing as well as long term sustainability of the teaching programs ensured 4. etc.		x x x			
Summary Component Assumptions Classification (check one)					
<u>Implementation Progress Summary Classification (IP):</u> (A satisfactory or higher classification indicates, among other things, that the project will be completed during the currently approved disbursement period) [] Highly Satisfactory (HS) [x] Satisfactory (S) [] Unsatisfactory (U) [] Very Unsatisfactory (VU)					
III. ACHIEVEMENT OF DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES (DO)					
<u>Project Development Objective(s):</u> 1. Building regional training capacity in infrastructure privatization, regulation and finance and create synergy among diverse universities within the region for a further deepening of the acquired expertise.			<u>Key Performance Indicators:</u> 1. Faculty of 5 selected universities trained / improvement in the delivery of quality training in the mentioned areas; 2. New courses on the topic offered; 3. New network or alliances with other universities initiated to expand the training program in the region 4. Number of students and or professionals enrolled per academic year 5. Solid course financing, ensuring financial sustainability.		



MULTILATERAL INVESTMENT FUND (MIF)

PROJECT PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT (MPPMR)

2. etc.	2. etc.
<u>Assumptions Related to each Development Objective</u>	
Probability	
High Low	
1. Need for additional / complementary training for faculty in universities of region	x
2. High demand for courses to be offered	x
3. Universities in the region show interest in expanding and / or improving their training profile in selected areas	x
Summary Development Objectives Assumptions Classification (check one)	
<u>Expected Achievement of Development Objective Classification (DO):</u>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Highly Probable (HP) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Probable (P) <input type="checkbox"/> Low Probability (LP) <input type="checkbox"/> Improbable (I)	
Briefly explain major factors taken into account to justify the DO Classification: The objective is based upon a preliminary estimate that there is a need in the region to train at least up to 10,000 professionals / students in the above mentioned areas. This need is the result of the privatization efforts that have been undertaken in most of the countries in the region, increasing the demand for improved regulatory frameworks in a given sector, as well as improved financial management capacity of privatized entities.	

Annex 2

IV. OVERVIEW OF PROJECT PERFORMANCE ISSUES

Check key reasons for Unsatisfactory/Very Unsatisfactory IP Classification or Low Probability/Improbable DO Classification

- | | | |
|---|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Legislative approvals | <input type="checkbox"/> Consultant performance | <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental issues |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Borrower / executing agency commitment | <input type="checkbox"/> Inter-agency coordination | <input type="checkbox"/> Cost overrun |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Counterpart funding shortfall | <input type="checkbox"/> Supplier/contractor performance | <input type="checkbox"/> Qualified external audit |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Executing agency institutional capacity | <input type="checkbox"/> Project/component design | <input type="checkbox"/> Policy changes |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Organizational changes in executing agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Contract condition compliance delays | <input type="checkbox"/> Organizational changes |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community/political opposition | <input type="checkbox"/> Bank efficiency (response delays) | <input type="checkbox"/> Executing agency personnel changes |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Executing agency staff deficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Procurement difficulties | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (see Issues, Section VI) |

V. PROJECT STATUS

Progress to date in implementing each component (Include reference to IP assumptions, if applicable)

1. Institutional Partner competitively selected
 2. Selection process for the beneficiary universities to be completed by mid September
 - 3.
- etc.

Current Status of each Assumption related to DO

1. N/A
 - 2.
- etc.

Timeliness of Compliance with contractual conditions (If applicable)

N/A

"Qualified opinions" of external auditors**Reformulation (If applicable):** Date of last reformulation _____. Briefly describe:**Lessons learned (If applicable):**



MULTILATERAL INVESTMENT FUND (MIF)
PROJECT PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT (MPPMR)

Potential Problems (If applicable):

VI. ISSUES AND ACTIONS

<u>Issue</u>	<u>Action</u>	<u>Responsible Unit</u>	<u>Date Action to be taken</u>	<u>Completed</u>
1. Institutional partner selected	completed	Bank's Selection Committee	July 15, 2000	[x]
2. Selection of 5 universities in the region etc.	Process to be completed	Bank's selection Committee	September 01, 2000	[]

