

Development Effectiveness Matrix			
Summary			
I. Strategic Alignment			
1. IDB Strategic Development Objectives	Aligned		
Lending Program	(i) Lending to small and vulnerable countries, (ii) Lending for poverty reduction and equity enhancement, and (iii) Lending to support climate chance initiatives, renewable energy and environmental sustainability.		
Regional Development Goals	Annual growth rate of agricultural GDP (%).		
Bank Output Contribution (as defined in Results Framework of IDB-9)	(i) Individuals (all, indigenous, afro-descendant) receiving targeted anti-poverty programs, and (ii) Farmers given access to improved agricultural services and investments.		
2. Country Strategy Development Objectives	Aligned		
Country Strategy Results Matrix	GN-2646	Increase in agricultural income in target areas.	
Country Program Results Matrix	Not Available	The operation is included in the 2012 Country Program Document.	
Relevance of this project to country development challenges (If not aligned to country strategy or country program)			
II. Development Outcomes - Evaluability	Highly Evaluable	Weight	Maximum Score
	9.6		10
3. Evidence-based Assessment & Solution	8.4	25%	10
4. Ex ante Economic Analysis	10.0	25%	10
5. Monitoring and Evaluation	10.0	25%	10
6. Risks & Mitigation Monitoring Matrix	10.0	25%	10
Overall risks rate = magnitude of risks*likelihood	Medium		
Environmental & social risk classification	B		
III. IDB's Role - Additionality			
The project relies on the use of country systems (VPC/PDP criteria)			
The project uses another country system different from the ones above for implementing the program			
The IDB's involvement promotes improvements of the intended beneficiaries and/or public sector entity in the following dimensions:			
Gender Equality			
Labor			
Environment	Yes	The clarification of property rights promotes the adoption of environmentally friendly technologies.	
Additional (to project preparation) technical assistance was provided to the public sector entity prior to approval to increase the likelihood of success of the project	Yes	Korean-Knowledge Sharing (KSP)/IDB Program supported an exchange of experience in land administration from Korean and Jamaica.	
The ex-post impact evaluation of the project will produce evidence to close knowledge gaps in the sector that were identified in the project document and/or in the evaluation plan.	Yes	The impact evaluation is expected to provide empirical evidence of the effect of land tenure clarification and formalization on agricultural investments, productivity, income and natural resource conservation.	

The project is aligned with IDB institutional priorities. The project document clearly identifies the project's contribution to: (i) the IDB's lending objectives "Lending to small and vulnerable countries", "Lending for poverty reduction and equity enhancement" and "Lending to support climate change initiatives, renewable energy and environmental sustainability". (ii) the Regional Development Goal "Protecting the environment, responding to climate change, promoting renewable energy, and enhancing food security", (iii) the Bank Output Contribution to Regional Development Goals 2012-2015 "Social policy for equity and productivity" and "Protecting the environment, responding to climate change, promoting renewable energy, and enhancing food security." The project is also aligned with the country strategy's objective "Protect the environment, respond to climate change and enhance food security".

The Project document and its annexes provide a clear and complete justification for the project. Problems and their causes are clearly identified and discussed. The project's potential beneficiaries are also clearly identified and described. Although the project document and the evaluation plan include a clear discussion of the existing evidence of effectiveness of the improved land tenure clarification/formalization, it is still hard to define the potential validity of this evidence for the Haitian context. The project's metric is well defined and the result matrix includes valid indicators with baseline and targets at all level. The project document includes a complete and realistic Cost Effectiveness Analysis. It also includes a monitoring and evaluation plan (MEP) that is complete and follows the DEM outline. The evaluation strategy is convincing and based on robust impact evaluation methodologies, which are clearly discussed in the MEP.

The risks identified in the risk matrix are reasonable and they include mitigation measure and related metric to track their implementation.