



# MULTILATERAL INVESTMENT FUND (MIF)

## PROJECT PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT (MPPMR)



Annex 2

I. BASIC DATA (Amounts in US\$ millions)					
<b>Country:</b> Haiti  <b>Executing Agency (EA):</b> Commission de Reforme du Secteur de l'Eau Potable et de l' Assainissement ( CORSEPA) <b>Window:</b> <b>Has the project been reformulated:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No (If yes see Section V)		<b>Project Title:</b> Support of the Potable Water and Sanitation Reform Program <b>AT Number:</b> ATN/MT-6097-HA		<b>Date of Donor Approval:</b> august 12, 1998  <b>Date of Contract Signature:</b> October 5, 1998  <b>Date of Contract Validity:</b> October 5, 1998 <b>Date of Eligibility for Disbursement:</b> April 5, 1999 <b>Original Date of Final Disbursement:</b> April 5, 2001 <b>Current Date of Final Disbursement:</b> April 5, 2001	
<b>CO Specialist:</b> Serge Ambroise <b>Headquarters Staff Member Assigned:</b> Fabrice Henry  <b>Date of Latest Report Update:</b> n/a <b>Date of Latest Report Review by Representative:</b> 08-23-2000	<b>Months in Execution</b> 0 <b>From approval:</b>  <b>From signature:</b> <b>Cumulative Extension of Original Disbursement Date (months):</b> 0 <b>% Deviation from original Disbursement Period:</b> n/a	<b>Original TC Amount:</b> US\$. 965 <b>Current Amount:</b> US\$. 965  <b>Disbursements:</b> 0 <b>% Disbursed:</b> 0 <b>Counterpart:</b> US\$ .250	<b>TC Modality:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NR  <input type="checkbox"/> R <input type="checkbox"/> CR		
II. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS (IP)					
Components/Outputs:	Key Delivery Performance Indicators:	Classification of Component			
		HS	S	U	VU
<b>1. Finalization of regulatory framework</b>  <b>2. Training and Technical Assistance for CREPA</b>  <b>3. Strengthening of Environmental Capacity.</b>	<b>1. Decree for the application of the law about ONEPA and CREPA</b> <b>2. Regulation for water tariff</b> <b>3. Regulation about levels of service to be provided</b> <b>4. Service decentralization and rules for private sector participation</b> <b>5. Preparation of rules and internal procedures for CREPA</b> <b>6. Design of a tool to ensure monitoring of level of services given and the establishment of a data base for the evaluation of EPA system.</b> <b>7. Design of a training program for members of CREPA .</b> <b>8. Design of a program of information about new rules for stakeholder of the Sector</b> <b>A total of 18 consultants will be hired to organize activities in order to :</b>  <b>9. Training program about integrated management of Water Resources.</b> <b>10. Training Program about Evaluation of Social Impact and about environment.( EISE).</b> <b>11. Training Program about environmental construction aspects and maintenance and operation of potable waters System.</b>				
<b>Assumptions Related to the Implementation of each Component</b>		Probability			
		High		Low	
Summary Component Assumptions Classification (check one)					



## MULTILATERAL INVESTMENT FUND (MIF)



### PROJECT PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT (MPPMR)

**Implementation Progress Summary Classification (IP):** (A satisfactory or higher classification indicates, among other things, that the project will be completed during the currently approved disbursement period)

☐ Highly Satisfactory (HS) ☐ Satisfactory (S) ☐ Unsatisfactory (U) ☐ Very Unsatisfactory (VU)

### III. ACHIEVEMENT OF DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES (DO)

**Project Development Objective(s):**

1. Contribute to the implementation of The Reform of Potable Water and Sanitation Sector and Participation of Private Sector in EPA services

**Key Performance Indicators:**

1. Preparation of Regulatory legal Framework
2. Implementation of CREPA and Training of its Personal
3. Environmental regulation capacity of CREPA Developed
4. Technical Capacity of ONEPA Developed

**Assumptions Related to each Development Objective**

**Probability**

**High**

**Low**

1. Achievement of political and economic stability

X

Summary Development Objectives Assumptions Classification (check one)

**Expected Achievement of Development Objective Classification (DO):**

☐ Highly Probable (HP) ☐ Probable (P) ☐ Low Probability (LP) ☐ Improbable (I)

**Briefly explain major factors taken into account to justify the DO Classification:**

We cannot give any classification since activities have not been initiated. This operation is still waiting for parliament ratification.

### Annex 2

### IV. OVERVIEW OF PROJECT PERFORMANCE ISSUES

Check key reasons for Unsatisfactory/Very Unsatisfactory IP Classification or Low Probability/Improbable DO Classification

- |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Legislative approvals           | <input type="checkbox"/> Consultant performance               | <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental issues               |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Borrower / executing agency commitment     | <input type="checkbox"/> Inter-agency coordination            | <input type="checkbox"/> Cost overrun                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Counterpart funding shortfall              | <input type="checkbox"/> Supplier/contractor performance      | <input type="checkbox"/> Qualified external audit           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Executing agency institutional capacity    | <input type="checkbox"/> Project/component design             | <input type="checkbox"/> Policy changes                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Organizational changes in executing agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Contract condition compliance delays | <input type="checkbox"/> Organizational changes             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community/political opposition             | <input type="checkbox"/> Bank efficiency (response delays)    | <input type="checkbox"/> Executing agency personnel changes |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Executing agency staff deficiency          | <input type="checkbox"/> Procurement difficulties             | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (see Issues, Section VI)     |

### V. PROJECT STATUS

**Progress to date in implementing each component** (Include reference to IP assumptions, if applicable)

1. n/a (Activities have not been initiated)

2. n/a “ “ “ “

3. n/a “ “ “ “

Etc.

**Current Status of each Assumption related to DO**

1. N/A for the same reason stated before.

2.

Etc.

**Timeliness of Compliance with contractual conditions (If applicable)**

The original date for fulfillment prior conditions was APRIL 9 1999. Due to political situation, the ratification of the Legal framework the most important condition prior to disbursement could not be achieved. Resources of the TC were not and are still unavailable.



**MULTILATERAL INVESTMENT FUND (MIF)**  
**PROJECT PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT (MPPMR)**



**“Qualified opinions” of external auditors**

N/a

**Reformulation (If applicable):** Date of last reformulation \_\_\_\_\_. Briefly describe:

N/a

**Lessons learned (If applicable):**

N/a

**Potential Problems (If applicable):**

**VI. ISSUES AND ACTIONS**

<u>Issue</u>	<u>Action</u>	<u>Responsible Unit</u>	<u>Date Action to be taken</u>	<u>Completed</u>
				[ ] [ ]

