



# MULTILATERAL INVESTMENT FUND (MIF)

## PROJECT PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT (MPPMR)



Annex 2

| I. BASIC DATA (Amounts in US\$ millions)  |  |   |  |   |    |
|---|--|---|--|---|----|
| <b>Country:</b> Haiti<br><br><b>Executing Agency (EA):</b> Commission de Reforme du Secteur de l'Eau Potable et de l' Assainissement ( CORSEPA)<br><b>Window:</b><br><b>Has the project been reformulated:</b><br><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No<br>(If yes see Section V)   |  | <b>Project Title:</b> Support of the Potable Water and Sanitation Reform Program<br><b>AT Number:</b> ATN/MT-6097-HA  |  | <b>Date of Donor Approval:</b> august 12, 1998<br><br><b>Date of Contract Signature:</b> October 5, 1998<br><br><b>Date of Contract Validity:</b> October 5, 1998<br><b>Date of Eligibility for Disbursement:</b><br><b>Original Date of Final Disbursement:</b> October. 5, 2001<br><b>Current Date of Final Disbursement:</b> October 5, 2001 |    |
| <b>CO Specialist:</b> Serge Ambroise<br><b>Headquarters Staff Member Assigned:</b><br><br><b>Date of Latest Report Update:</b> n/a<br><b>Date of Latest Report Review by Representative:</b>  | <b>Months in Execution</b> 41<br><b>From approval:</b> 43<br><b>From signature:</b> 41<br><b>Cumulative Extension of Original Disbursement Date (months):</b> 0<br><b>% Deviation from original Disbursement Period:</b> n/a   | <b>Original TC Amount:</b> US\$. 965<br><b>Current Amount:</b> US\$. 965<br><b>Disbursements:</b> 0<br><b>% Disbursed:</b> 0<br><b>Counterpart:</b> US\$ .250 | <b>TC Modality:</b><br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NR<br><input type="checkbox"/> R<br><input type="checkbox"/> CR |   |    |
| II. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS (IP)  |  |   |  |   |    |
| Components/Outputs:   | Key Delivery Performance Indicators:   | Classification of Component   |  |   |    |
|   |  | HS  | S  | U   | VU |
| 1. Finalization of regulatory framework   | 1. Decree for the application of the law about ONEPA and CREPA   |   |  | X   |    |
| 2. Training and Technical Assistance for CREPA  | 2. Regulation for water tariff<br>3. Regulation about levels of service to be provided<br>4. Service decentralization and rules for private sector participation<br>5. Preparation of rules and internal procedures for CREPA<br>6. Design of a tool to ensure monitoring of level of services given and the establishment of a data base for the evaluation of EPA system.<br>7. Design of a training program for members of CREPA .<br>8. Design of a program of information about new rules for stakeholder of the Sector |   |  | X   |    |
| 3. Strengthening of Environmental Capacity.   | A total of 18 consultants will be hired to organize activities in order to :<br><br>9. Training program about integrated management of Water Resources.<br>10. Training Program about Evaluation of Social Impact and about environment.( EISE).<br>11. Training Program about environmental construction aspects and maintenance and operation of potable waters System.  |   |  | X   |    |
| <b>Assumptions Related to the Implementation of each Component</b><br><br>Decrees have entered into effect and CREPA is operational within six month of project start<br>Financial model has been designed and CREPA Staff has been trained to used it within 18 month of project start<br>Signature of performance contracts between Municipalities and ONEPA obtained ( at least 5 within 2years of project start<br>Regulations published 18 months after project start ( At least 2 municipalities within 2years of project completion<br>Database covers 80% of the country 's urban system within 30 months of project start<br>Number of professionals trained ( 5 Commissioners 5 Technical Staff ) |  | Probability   |  |   |    |
|   |  | High  |  | Low   |    |
|   |  |   | X  | X   | X  |
|   | X  | X   | X  |   |    |
|   | X  | X   | X  |   |    |



## MULTILATERAL INVESTMENT FUND (MIF)

### PROJECT PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT (MPPMR)



| Number of Workshop held( 9 for Urban centers, 9 for rural areas 3 with ONEPA 3 with other institutions)   |  | X   |      |     |  |   |
|---|--|---|------|-----|--|---|
| Number of publics hearings held by CREPA every year ( minimum 9 starting one year after project initiation)   |  | X   |      |     |  |   |
| Number of environmental modules taught (2) Numbers of trainees per module (15)  |  | X   |      |     |  |   |
| Number of (EISA ) module taught ( 20)   |  | X   |      |     |  |   |
| Number of environmental aspect module taught ( 5,20times ) Number of trainees per module (120)  |  | X   |      |     |  |   |
| Summary Component Assumptions Classification (check one)  |  |   |      |     |  |   |
| <b>Implementation Progress Summary Classification (IP):</b> (A satisfactory or higher classification indicates, among other things, that the project will be completed during the currently approved disbursement period) |  |   |      |     |  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Highly Satisfactory (HS) <input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory (S) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unsatisfactory (U) <input type="checkbox"/> Very Unsatisfactory (VU)                      |  |   |      |     |  |   |
| <b>III. ACHIEVEMENT OF DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES (DO)</b>  |  |   |      |     |  |   |
| <b>Project Development Objective(s):</b><br>1. Contribute to the implementation of The Reform of Potable Water and Sanitation Sector and Participation of Private Sector in EPA services                                  | <b>Key Performance Indicators:</b><br>1. Preparation of Regulatory legal Framework<br>2. Implementation of CREPA and Training of its Personal<br>3. Environmental regulation capacity of CREPA Developed<br>4. Technical Capacity of ONEPA Developed |   |      |     |  |   |
| <b>Assumptions Related to each Development Objective</b>  |  | <b>Probability</b>  |      |     |  |   |
|   |  | <table border="1"> <tr> <th>High</th> <th>Low</th> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>X</td> </tr> </table> | High | Low |  | X |
| High  | Low  |   |      |     |  |   |
|   | X  |   |      |     |  |   |
| 1. Achievement of political and economic stability  |  |   |      |     |  |   |
| Summary Development Objectives Assumptions Classification (check one)   |  |   |      |     |  |   |
| <b>Expected Achievement of Development Objective Classification (DO):</b>   |  |   |      |     |  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Highly Probable (HP) <input type="checkbox"/> Probable (P) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low Probability (LP) <input type="checkbox"/> Improbable (I)                                      |  |   |      |     |  |   |
| <b>Briefly explain major factors taken into account to justify the DO Classification:</b>   |  |   |      |     |  |   |
| Context Changed with the following implications: a) actual political impasse is blocking execution<br>b) There is no commitment to Reform<br>c) Institution weakness is deepen  |  |   |      |     |  |   |

Annex 2

|   |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| <b>IV. OVERVIEW OF PROJECT PERFORMANCE ISSUES</b>   |  |   |
| Check key reasons for Unsatisfactory/Very Unsatisfactory IP Classification or Low Probability/Improbable DO Classification  |  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Legislative approvals<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Borrower / executing agency commitment<br><input type="checkbox"/> Counterpart funding shortfall<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Executing agency institutional capacity<br><input type="checkbox"/> Organizational changes in executing agency<br><input type="checkbox"/> Community/political opposition<br><input type="checkbox"/> Executing agency staff deficiency | <input type="checkbox"/> Consultant performance<br><input type="checkbox"/> Inter-agency coordination<br><input type="checkbox"/> Supplier/contractor performance<br><input type="checkbox"/> Project/component design<br><input type="checkbox"/> Contract condition compliance delays<br><input type="checkbox"/> Bank efficiency (response delays)<br><input type="checkbox"/> Procurement difficulties | <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental issues<br><input type="checkbox"/> Cost overrun<br><input type="checkbox"/> Qualified external audit<br><input type="checkbox"/> Policy changes<br><input type="checkbox"/> Organizational changes<br><input type="checkbox"/> Executing agency personnel changes<br><input type="checkbox"/> Other (see Issues, Section VI) |
| <b>V. PROJECT STATUS</b>  |  |   |
| <b>Progress to date in implementing each component</b> (Include reference to IP assumptions, if applicable)   |  |   |
| 1. n/a (Activities have not been initiated)<br>2. n/a      “      “      “      “<br>3. n/a      “      “      “      “<br>Etc.   |  |   |
| <b>Current Status of each Assumption related to DO</b>  |  |   |
| 1. N/A for the same reason stated before.<br>2.<br>Etc.   |  |   |



## MULTILATERAL INVESTMENT FUND (MIF)

### PROJECT PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT (MPPMR)



#### Timeliness of Compliance with contractual conditions (If applicable)

The original deadline for fulfillment of conditions prior to the first disbursement of this technical assistance was APRIL 9 1999. The Bank had given a first extension until June 30 2001 and a second until dec. 31 2001 but the Beneficiary was not able to satisfy those conditions essentially because of the political situation.

The loan 1010/SF-HA related to this TC has been ratified by the Parliament on Jan. 18 2001 and, at present, the Ministry of Public Works (MTPTC) is in the process of fulfilling these conditions prior to first disbursement for the loan. The legal framework, the most important condition prior to the first disbursement of both the indicated loan and the TC has been revised and the final version submitted by the MTPTC to the Primer Minister Office responsible to present it to the Parliament for its ratification. In the mean time funds of the TC are still unavailable and none of the component of the TC has started.

Another TC (ATN/SF-7167-HA) was approved by the Bank in 2000, to help the Beneficiary to fulfill prior conditions to first disbursement of both loan 1010/SF-HA and ATN/MT-6097-HA however the resources of this TC are still not available despite of the submission by MTPTC of all required documentation to comply with all the conditions prior to the first disbursement. This is probably due to the fact that the Bank has decided not to permit the activation of the loan operation 1010/SF-HA. Meanwhile, the MIF operation has not started.

It should be pointed out that this technical cooperation is essential for the Potable Water Reform since it will allow the creation and implementation of all new institutions anticipated for management of the Sector. It is unclear whether the Government remains committed to the reform of the sector. This would have to be confirmed prior launching execution of the MIF operation. The political situation seems to be the main factor causing delay in the development of the Potable Water Program. Since the Bank has decided not to cancel the loan 1010/SF-HA, we believe that this TC should be maintained however, MIF/HQ should take the final decision about that.

#### “Qualified opinions” of external auditors

N/a

Reformulation (If applicable): Date of last reformulation \_\_\_\_\_. Briefly describe:

N/a

Lessons learned (If applicable):

N/a

Potential Problems (If applicable):

The long delay in starting execution may mandate reformulation of the TC once there is a green light to proceed.

#### VI. ISSUES AND ACTIONS

| <u>Issue</u>  | <u>Action</u>  | <u>Responsible Unit</u> | <u>Date Action to be taken</u> | <u>Completed</u> |
|---|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|
| The execution of this ATN depends on the execution of the loan 1010/SF-HA (Potable Water Sector Reform) which was declared effective on Jan 18 2001. However, the Bank has suspended disbursements for this loan due to arrears. Even before that however, the Bank decided to withhold eligibility until the political impasse was resolved. The Bank administration on the other hand has decided not to cancel the loan and keep it in portfolio awaiting future development.<br><br>CHA is proposing not to extend the disbursement period but to maintain this MIF TC on the portfolio until a decision is taken concerning the loan | Determination of whether to maintain this TC or to cancel it | MIF                     | 31/03/02                       |                  |



**MULTILATERAL INVESTMENT FUND (MIF)**  
**PROJECT PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT (MPPMR)**



|  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|

