

PMR Operational Report

Operation Number	TT-L1042	Chief of Operations Validation Date	10/23/19
Year- PMR Cycle	First period Jan-Jun 2019	Division Chief Validation Date	
Last Update	10/22/19	Country Representative Validation Date	
PMR Validation Stage	Validated by Chief of Operations		

Basic Data

Operation Profile

Operation Name	Support to Strengthen Trinidad and Tobago's Public Financial Management System	Loan Number	3473/OC-TT
Executing Agency	MINISTRY OF FINANCE AND THE ECONOMY	Sector/Subsector	RM-PUB - REFORM / MODERNIZATION OF THE STATE-REFORM AND PUBLIC SECTOR SUPPORT
Team Leader	VEYRAT-PONTET, ALEXANDRE	Overall Stage	Disbursing (From eligibility until all the Operations are closed)
Operation Type	Loan Operation	Country	TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO
Lending Instrument	Investment Loan	Convergence related Operation(s)	
Borrower	TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO		


Environmental and Social Safeguards

Impacts Category	C	Was/Were the objective(s) of this operation reformulated?	NO
Safeguard Performance Rating		Date of approval	
Safeguard Performance Rating - Rationale			

Financial Data

Item	Total Cost and Source					Available Funds (US\$)			
	Original IDB	Current IDB	Local Counterpart	Co-Financing / Country	Total Original Cost	Current IDB	Disb. Amount to Date	% Disb	Undisbursed Amount
TT-L1042	40,000,000	40,000,000	0	0	40,000,000	40,000,000	5,778,537.19	14.45%	34,221,462.81
Aggregated	40,000,000	40,000,000	0	0	40,000,000	40,000,000	5,778,537.19	14.45%	34,221,462.81

Expense Categories by Loan Contract (cumulative values)



Please note that inactive indicators and outputs are not displayed; totals in the actual cost table may not match the sum of the cost of the outputs displayed, due to the cost of inactive outputs.

PMR Operational Report

RESULTS MATRIX

IMPACTS

No information available for this section

PMR Operational Report

RESULTS MATRIX

OUTCOMES

Outcome Nbr. 0: Increased Strategicalness in the allocation of public resources

Observation: The PEFA scores is ranked in alphabetic scores. However the PMR system does not allow alphabetical scores and therefore the target values were converted in numerical values. (D=1.0;D+=1.5;C=2.0;C+=2.5;B=3.0;B+=3.5;A=4.0)

Indicator	Unit of Measure	Baseline	Baseline Year		2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022	EOP 2021
0.0 PEFA Performance Indicator 5 - Classification of the budget (D=1.0;D+=1.5;C=2.0;C+=2.5;B=3.0;B+=3.5;A=4.0)	PEFA Score	2.00	2014	P				2.50		3.00	3.00
				P(a)				2.50		3.00	3.00
				A							

Details

Means of verification: Public Expenditure and Financial Accountability (PEFA) Report to be provided by the Ministry of Finance and the Economy

Observations: PEFA scores are recorded as letter grades (A,B+, B, etc.). The IDB's reporting system only accepts numerical values for outcomes indicator reporting. As such, the letter grades have been transposed into numerical values as follows: A=1.5; B+=2; B=2.5; C+=3; C=3.5; D=4.5.

Pro-Gender No **Pro-Ethnicity** No

Indicator	Unit of Measure	Baseline	Baseline Year		2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022	EOP 2021
0.1 PEFA Performance Indicator 12 - Multiyear perspective in fiscal planning, expenditure policy, and budgeting (D=1.0;D+=1.5;C=2.0;C+=2.5;B=3.0;B+=3.5;A=4.0)	PEFA Score	2.50	2014	P				3.00		3.50	3.50
				P(a)				3.00		3.50	3.50
				A							

Details

Means of verification: Public Expenditure and Financial Accountability (PEFA) Report to be provided by the Ministry of Finance and the Economy

Observations: PEFA scores are recorded as letter grades (A,B+, B, etc.). The IDB's reporting system only accepts numerical values for outcomes indicator reporting. As such, the letter grades have been transposed into numerical values as follows: A=1.5; B+=2; B=2.5; C+=3; C=3.5; D=4.5.

Pro-Gender No **Pro-Ethnicity** No

Outcome Nbr. 1: Increase independence in the control and stewardship of public resources

Observation:

Indicator	Unit of Measure	Baseline	Baseline Year		2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022	EOP 2021
1.0 PEFA Performance Indicator 21 - Effectiveness of Internal Audit (D=1.0;D+=1.5;C=2.0;C+=2.5;B=3.0;B+=3.5;A=4.0)	Governments (#)	2.00	2014	P				2.50		3.00	3.00
				P(a)				2.50		3.00	3.00
				A							

Details

Means of verification: Public Expenditure and Financial Accountability (PEFA) Report to be provided by the Ministry of Finance and the Economy

Observations: PEFA scores are recorded as letter grades (A,B+, B, etc.). The IDB's reporting system only accepts numerical values for outcomes indicator reporting. As such, the letter grades have

PMR Operational Report

RESULTS MATRIX

OUTCOMES

been transposed into numerical values as follows: A=1.5; B+=2; B=2.5; C+=3; C=3.5; D=4.5

Pro-Gender No **Pro-Ethnicity** No

Outcome Nbr. 2: Increase the effectiveness of information management

Observation:

Indicator	Unit of Measure	Baseline	Baseline Year		2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022	EOP 2021
2.0	PEFA Performance Indicator 22 - Timeliness and Regularity of accounts reconciliation (D=1.0;D+=1.5;C=2.0;C+=2.5;B=3.0;B+=3.5;A=4.0)	PEFA Score	3.00	2008	P					3.50	3.50
					P(a)					3.50	3.50
					A						

Details

Means of verification: Public Expenditure and Financial Accountability (PEFA) Report to be provided by the Ministry of Finance and the Economy

Observations: According to the PEFA Report, the Suspense Account is part of the measurement of this PEFA performance indicator. PEFA scores are recorded as letter grades (A,B+, B, etc.). The IDB's reporting system only accepts numerical values for outcomes indicator reporting. As such, the letter grades have been transposed into numerical values as follows: A=1.5; B+=2; B=2.5; C+=3; C=3.5; D=4.5.

Pro-Gender No **Pro-Ethnicity** No

Indicator	Unit of Measure	Baseline	Baseline Year		2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022	EOP 2021
2.1	Annual balance of the Suspense Account in constant prices (target at EOP is 0 however the system requires to register a number>0 so 0,01 was registered)	TT\$ billion	6.24	2014	P					0.00	0.00
					P(a)					0.00	0.00
					A						

Details

Means of verification: Annual Financial Statement Report prepared by the Auditor General's Department

Pro-Gender No **Pro-Ethnicity** No

Outcome Nbr. 3: Intermediate Outcome

Observation:

Indicator	Unit of Measure	Baseline	Baseline Year		2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022	EOP 2021
3.0	Line Ministries using the Integrated Financial Management Information System	Government agencies (#)	0.00	2014	P					100.00	100.00
					P(a)					100.00	100.00
					A						

Details

Means of verification: evaluation report

Pro-Gender No **Pro-Ethnicity** No

RESULTS MATRIX

OUTPUTS: ANNUAL PHYSICAL AND FINANCIAL PROGRESS

Component Nbr. 1 Support for PFM Modernization

	Output	Unit of Measure		PHYSICAL PROGRESS		FINANCIAL PROGRESS	
				2019	EOP 2021	2019	EOP 2021
1.1	Chart of Accounts (CoA) with Government Financial Statistics (GFS)-compliant budget classification implemented for IFMIS purposes	GFS-compliant CoA	P	0	1	168,000	439,000
			P(a)	0	1	186,450	446,880
			A		0		0
1.2	Revision of Treasury's Accounting Framework completed	Package (methodology and mater	P	0	1	170,500	355,000
			P(a)	0	1	80,000	355,000
			A		0		0
1.3	PSIP training program completed	report of courses	P	0	1	516,000	1,192,500
			P(a)	0	1	200,000	1,192,500
			A		0		0
1.4	Internal Audit framework implemented	Governments (#)	P	0	1	142,500	355,000
			P(a)	0	1	112,333	269,000
			A		0	31,582	31,582
1.5	Existing regulatory framework for financial management revised	Regulatory package	P	0	1		305,060
			P(a)	0	1	80,000	220,000
			A		0		0
1.6	Change management strategy implemented	Government agencies (#)	P	0	1	400,000	1,000,000
			P(a)	0	1	100,000	900,000
			A		0	27,426	27,426

Component Nbr. 2 Support for implementation of an Integrated Financial Management Information System (IFMIS)

	Output	Unit of Measure		PHYSICAL PROGRESS		FINANCIAL PROGRESS	
				2019	EOP 2021	2019	EOP 2021
2.1	IFMIS Implemented in all Ministries and Departments	Report	P		1	7,824,823	32,089,803
			P(a)		1	2,619,248	14,801,916
			A		0	1,796,405	5,807,931

Other Cost

	Project Administration	P			428,200	2,058,500
		P(a)			328,200	1,962,500
		A			142,385	716,533
	Contingency	P				2,205,137
		P(a)				19,852,204
		A				0

Total Cost

	Total Cost	P			9,650,023	40,000,000
		P(a)			3,706,231	40,000,000
		A			1,997,798	6,583,472

CHANGES TO THE MATRIX

No information available for this section

PMR Operational Report

IMPLEMENTATION STATUS AND LEARNING

Lesson Learned - Categories
Intra/Inter Coordination
Project Design
Project Management Capacity
Stakeholder Priorities