

DOCUMENT OF THE INTER-AMERICAN DEVELOPMENT BANK
NOT FOR PUBLIC USE

REGIONAL

BEST PRACTICES IN YOUTH PROGRAMS AND POLICIES IN LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN

(RG-T1653)

PLAN OF OPERATIONS

This document was prepared by the project team consisting of: Rosario Londoño (SCL/SPH); and Isabel M. Alvarez (CMG/DCM), Project Team Leaders, Luisa Sigrid Vivo (SCL/SPH), Leonardo Pinzón (SCL/SPH); Martha Guerra (SCL/SPH); Fabian Koss (CMG/DCM); Kai Hertz (VPC/GCM); and Geronimo Frigerio (LEG/SGO).

CONTENT

I.	BACKGROUND AND JUSTIFICATION	3
II.	PROGRAM DESCRIPTION	4
	A. Program goal and purpose	4
	B. Components	4
III.	BUDGET	6
	A. Description and composition of financing.....	6
	B. Sustainability	6
IV.	EXECUTING AGENCY AND MECHANISM.....	7
	A. Executing agency.....	7
	B. Executing mechanism.....	7
	C. Program implementation readiness.....	7
	E. Procurement.....	7
V.	MONITORING AND EVALUATION.....	8
	A. Monitoring	8
	B. Technical and basic responsibility.....	8
	C. Progress and final reports	8
	D. Description of proposed evaluations	8
VI.	PROGRAM BENEFITS AND RISKS	8
	A. Program benefits and developmental impact.....	8
	B. Target beneficiaries	9
	C. Risks	9
VII.	ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL ASPECTS	9
	A. Date of ESR review	9
	B. Measures taken to avoid negative social and environmental impacts	9

ANNEXES

ANNEX I	Logical Framework
ANNEX II	Detailed Program Budget
ANNEX III	Procurement Plan

APPENDICES

BASIC SOCIOECONOMIC DATA

For basic socioeconomic data, including public debt information, please refer to the following address:

<http://www.iadb.org/RES/index.cfm?fuseaction=externallinks.countrydata>

INFORMATION AVAILABLE IN THE FILES OF SCL

PREPARATION:

Terms of Reference - Research Assistant
Terms of Reference - Web Developer
Terms of Reference - Web Editor and Assistant
Terms of Reference - Evaluation methodology for youth development projects
Terms of Reference - Systematic and uniform indicators for each core area in youth development
Selection criteria used for selecting promising practices

EXECUTION:

[RG-T1750 Supporting Youth Services Providers to Measure at Youth Risk Behavior](#)

ABBREVIATIONS

ECLAC	Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean
ESR	Environmental and Social Impact Review
ILO	International Labor Organization
KPR	Korean Poverty Reduction Fund
KNL	Knowledge and Learning Sector
LAC	Latin America and the Caribbean
M&E	Monitoring and Evaluation
NGOs	Non Governmental Organizations
OAS	Organization of American States
PAHO	Pan American Health Organization
SCL/SPH	Social Sector / Social Protection and Health Division
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
UNFPA	United Nation Population Fund
VPC/GCM	Vicepresidency for Countries – Grants and Cofinancing Management

PLAN OF OPERATIONS
Best Practices in Youth Programs and Policies in Latin America and the Caribbean
(RG-T1653)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Beneficiary:	Latin American and Caribbean Governments, NGO's and Private sector youth service providers
Team Leader/Members:	Rosario Londoño (SCL/SPH); and Isabel M. Alvarez (CMG/DCM), Project Team Leaders; Luisa Sigrid Vivo (SCL/SPH); Leonardo Pinzón (SCL/SPH); Martha Guerra (SCL/SPH); Fabian Koss (CMG/DCM); Kai Hertz (VPC/GCM); and Geronimo Frigerio (LEG/SGO)
Executing agency:	IDB – Inter-American Development Bank
Target Beneficiaries:	Young people (ages 15 to 24 years) and youth serving organizations.
Financing:	IDB (Korean Poverty Reduction Fund – KPR): US\$165,000 Additional Contributions: US\$105,000 Total: US\$270,000
Objectives:	The program goal is to identify and disseminate promising approaches to youth development that are currently being executed by LAC governments and local non governmental organizations in the following eight core areas: (i) education; (ii) employment; (iii) violence prevention; (iv) health; (v) volunteerism; (vi) leadership/participation; (vii) poverty reduction; and (viii) integrated-holistic approaches to youth development. The purpose is three-fold: 1) to create solid collaboration and coordination mechanisms within the multilateral agencies and with the direct youth service providers/policy makers; 2) to design and implement appropriate knowledge management tools that allow for the continuous dissemination of promising and effective youth interventions initiatives amongst the different stakeholders and; 3) to advance in the identification of proper evaluation methodologies and an agreement of common indicators in youth development.
Execution timetable:	Execution Period: 18 months Disbursement Period: 24 months
Special contractual conditions:	None

Exceptions to Bank Policies and Procedures:

None

Environmental and social review:

The Environmental and Social Impact Review Secretariat (ESR) reviewed and cleared the project profile on 9 December 2008, confirming the operation's classification as category "C."

Coordination with Other Donors and International organizations:

The conceptualization and objective of this project were produced by a team of specialists from several organizations, mainly the IDB, UNESCO, OAS, PAHO, UNFPA and ILO. There have been two meetings and several conferences to design the project; during this process, it has been evident that there is strong interest from all institutions to have this joint initiative with a regional perspective and exchange of information to strengthen the impact of community based interventions and programs for youth development. Additionally, the Bank and UNESCO signed a Memorandum of Understanding in October 2008 to collaborate on several issues, one of them been youth.

I. BACKGROUND AND JUSTIFICATION

- 1.1 Forty percent of the Latin American and Caribbean (LAC) population is under 30 years old. Of which, 41% live under the poverty line (58 million) and 21.2 million are considered to live in extreme poverty. According to the World Bank's Development Report of 2007, the number of young people in LAC is peaking and or will peak in the next 10-15 years. Large numbers of young people present a tremendous opportunity to accelerate development: the potential demographic dividend from having a larger working-age population and fewer dependants can be significant. However, the dividend depends on the successful transition of young people into adulthood, and more and more LAC youth are finding this transition to be especially challenging. A recent report by the World Bank puts the numbers of at-risk youth at a staggering 32% of 12- to 24-year-olds (approximately 50 million), with "at-risk" defined as suffering the consequences of at least one kind of risky behavior.¹ The costs of risky behavior among young people are potentially very high. The World Bank estimates that risky youth behavior depresses economic growth in Latin America by up to 2% per year.²
- 1.2 In order to strategically support, improve and scale the efforts and impact of governments, civil society organizations and development institutions working in youth development in the region, a necessary first step is to identify promising practices and create knowledge management collaboration tools amongst the different players. Specifically, multilateral institutions and inter governmental agencies need to coordinate on how to best invest in priority youth sectors and to continuously share lessons learned on successful policies, programs and evaluation methodologies on youth development. This coordination and collaboration effort will allow for prompt identification of innovation areas as well as avoid repeating or replicating practices that are not working.
- 1.3 This project is an initial step to: a) better coordinate the youth development efforts led by several multilateral organizations such as the IDB, OAS, UNESCO, UNFPA, PAHO, and the ILO among others; b) establish better working relations with Government, NGO and private sector youth service providers; c) strengthen the Banks Knowledge Repository on Youth Development and d) begin to identify and establish common basic indicators to measure youth policies and program interventions.
- 1.4 So far, the above mentioned partner organizations have met to decide core areas and minimum selection criteria. A call for proposals from governments, local NGOs and private sector provided was issued on March 26, 2009. A total of 808 organizations registered to participate; 471 programs and 135 policies were submitted and the first round of selection has taken place. For a detailed

¹ Cunningham et al. (2008)

² World Bank (2006)

description of the selection process of the most promising practices chosen, please refer to Annex I. The detailed selection criteria used for selecting promising was developed by experts from partner agencies specializing in each topic (see web link No.2). To summarize: selected programs have to have at least two years of execution; to be in one of the eight core areas; and to have a monitoring and evaluation system to measure and/or document results. Finally, selected programs need to focus, target and benefit youth between the ages of 12 to 24 years.

II. PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

A. Program goal and purpose

- 2.1 The program goal is to identify and disseminate promising approaches to youth development that are currently being executed by LAC governments and local non governmental organizations in the following eight core areas: (i) education; (ii) mployment; (iii) violence prevention; (iv) health; (v) volunteerism; (vi) eadership/participation; (vii) poverty reduction; and (viii) integrated-holistic approaches to youth development. The purpose is three-fold: 1) to create solid collaboration and coordination mechanisms within the multilateral agencies and with the direct youth service providers/policy makers; 2) to design and implement appropriate knowledge management tools that allow for the continuous dissemination of promising and effective youth interventions initiatives amongst the different stakeholders; and 3) to advance in the identification of proper evaluation methodologies and an agreement of common indicators in youth development in the eight core areas.

B. Components

- 2.2 **Component 1. Establishing a Youth Development Knowledge Network.** The objective of this component is to establish a youth development knowledge network between key multilaterals and youth service providers in LAC and to pilot a web-based collaboration tool for the network. The participation of many NGOs, governments and private sector representatives from the LAC region, as well as the participation of the international organizations, provides an ideal opportunity to establish a sustainable youth development network for the region. Studies have shown that for knowledge exchange networks to be effective and sustainable over time, face-to-face interactions are needed, especially at the beginning. Therefore, this component will support: (i) the organization of a regional conference; (ii) the production, publication and dissemination of a report summarizing the findings from the identification and selection process as well as conclusions from the conference; and (iii) creation of a pilot web-based youth development knowledge network.
- 2.3 **Regional Conference.** To recognize the promising practices and programs selected, to disseminate lessons learned, and to stimulate the inter-organizational coordination and exchange, this high-visibility three-day regional conference will

take place at the Chihuahua Convention Center. Another local important partner of this project is the University of Colima, also in Mexico, which has designed and programmed the Web platform. This platform will allow the practices and programs to be submitted and evaluated and will serve as the foundation for the pilot online youth-development knowledge network.

- 2.4 The regional conference will take place in late summer or early fall 2009 for about 300 participants, members of the public and private sectors, community-based organizations, foundations, media, and multilateral development organizations. The event will feature a daily plenary session, roundtable discussions, and networking opportunities. It will also have an area for exhibiting the selected practices. Most importantly, during the conference a web-based youth development knowledge network will be founded. All of the participants will be invited to join and several introductory sessions will be provided on working with online communities and networks. The Bank will finance the travel of representatives of the selected best practices, and a portion of the logistics of the meeting.
- 2.5 **Dissemination of findings and web-based collaborative knowledge network.** To continuously and systematically share information, document findings and lessons learned, and provide recommendations on youth policies and project design and evaluation, a pilot web-based collaborative knowledge management network will be created. This tool will disseminate in electronic format the publication summarizing the main findings from the selection process and the lessons learned from the conference. This publication will also be printed and distributed regionally through the coordinating organizations' networks of partners. A key element of this publication will be basic indicators on the promising practices in the eight core area; these will be used to support implementation and monitoring of projects and policies for youth development.
- 2.6 **Web-based collaborative knowledge management.** After the conference, the web-based collaborative knowledge management network will operate for at least 8 months as a pilot. During this time, members will be able to upload documents, make comments, screen videos and present surveys and other relevant materials. The IDB's Youth Development Knowledge Repository and Community of Practice will sponsor this initiative; if it proves effective, additional funding will be sought.
- 2.7 **Component 2. Evaluation methodologies and definition of uniform indicators in youth development.** The objectives of this component are to: (i) deepen the knowledge of institutional arrangements and results management of the promising practices; (ii) strengthen evaluation methodologies for the purpose of developing an inventory of promising practices; (iii) provide technical support to enhance evaluation methodologies of the winning promising practices; (iv) analyze arrangements for M&E and efforts to improve youth development outcomes; and (v) identify systematic and uniform indicators for measuring youth interventions in the eight core areas. This component will rely on the input of three experts on

evaluation and institutional strengthening of youth development programs. In an effort to exchange good practices with Korea, at least three top young students of economics, institutionalism and evaluation will be selected to provide direct support to chosen promising practices. Detail description of their role and responsibilities can be found as a preparation link.

III. BUDGET

A. Description and composition of financing

- 3.1 The total cost of the project is US\$270,000 of which US\$165,000 is from the KPR. Additional expected contributions include those by other multilateral organizations such as UNFPA, UNESCO and local funds come from the University of Colima and the Government of Colima, Mexico.

III.1 Summary Cost Table

RAT Summary Cost Table				
	Components/Subcomponents	Source of financing		
		IDB	Other contributions	Total
Component I. Establishing a Youth Development Knowledge Network				
1.1	Identification of best practices		35,000	35,000
1.2	Regional Conference	32,160	70,000	102,160
1.3	Youth Development Knowledge Network	20,000		20,000
1.4	Web- based Youth Development Knowledge Network	23,200		23,200
1.5	Dissemination of findings	15,000		15,000
	<i>SUBTOTAL</i>	90,360	105,000	195,360
Component II. Evaluation methodologies and definition of uniform indicators				
2.1	Evaluation Methodology for youth development projects	17,500		17,500
2.2	Systematic and uniform indicators per each core area in youth development	20,000		20,000
2.3	Technical support on evaluation methodologies, data analysis and to strengthen their execution	14,000		14,000
2.4	Dissemination of findings	20,000		20,000
	<i>SUBTOTAL</i>	71,500		71,500
	<i>Contingencies</i>	3,140		3,140
	TOTAL	165,000	105,000	270,000

B. Sustainability

- 3.2 Since the knowledge sharing management network will continue to expand and deepen knowledge via collaborative web technology findings of this initiative will be sustainable in the long term. Members and youth practitioners will be given access to continue to upload new documents, provide relevant comments, present surveys, videos, and other relevant materials.

- 3.3 This knowledge sharing platform will be linked with other relevant platforms and websites, e.g. Juventudes de America, and the Bank's Children and Youth knowledge repository.

IV. EXECUTING AGENCY AND MECHANISM

A. Executing agency

- 4.1 The project will be executed by the Bank, through the SCL/SPH, in partnership with IDB YOUTH. As a regional leader in youth development with a large lending portfolio in this area, the Bank is strategically positioned to maximize the impact on the work of other donors.

B. Executing mechanism

- 4.2 SCL/SPH and IDB YOUTH will conduct technical monitoring, disbursements, and supervision of any type of audit. Moreover, considering the scope, it will have the support and coordination of other donors operating in the region to ensure synergies and value-added.
- 4.3 The initiative provides a unique opportunity to coordinate and leverage the work of the IDB and the efforts undertaken by the Inter-American Working Group on Youth Development, in order to generate quality knowledge and develop an integrated and multi-sector approach to improve youth development and lay a solid foundation for an effective knowledge management system.

C. Program implementation readiness

- 4.4 The program is ready for implementation.

D. Execution period and disbursement schedule

- 4.5 The execution period for the technical cooperation project will be 18 months, and the deadline for the last disbursement will be 24 months after the date on which the agreement is signed.

E. Procurement

- 4.6 The Bank will procure the goods, services and consulting services required by the Project in accordance with Bank policies contained in documents GN-2349-7 and GN-2350-7, respectively, using the procurement methods indicated in the attached Procurement Plan (Annex III).
- 4.7 SCL/SPH and IDB YOUTH will jointly review and select candidates for consultants and contracted services, and supervise their work. SCL/SPH will be responsible for contracting of consultants. Consultations with partner organization

will be conducted continuously, but no activities will be contingent on the results of these consultations.

V. MONITORING AND EVALUATION

A. Monitoring

- 5.1 Monitoring of the program outputs will be conducted by SCL/SPH and IDB YOUTH against the terms of reference of the consultants. The monitoring of pilot studies will be conducted via reporting to the Bank by the contracted organizations and expert consultants, and with the assistance of country offices.

B. Technical and basic responsibility

- 5.2 SCL/SPH and EXR/IDB YOUTH will share the technical responsibility for the project. Basic responsibility will be with SCL/SPH, including contracting and disbursements.

C. Progress and final reports

- 5.3 A midterm report on the proceedings of the components will be issued by SCL/SPH and IDB YOUTH. As well, a final report will be provided following the completion of the project.

D. Description of proposed evaluations

- 5.4 The two components of this TC will be evaluated to determine to what extent their objectives have been met. Particular focus will be given to evaluating the effectiveness of the web-based collaborative tool for the youth development knowledge network.

VI. PROGRAM BENEFITS AND RISKS

A. Program benefits and developmental impact

- 6.1 This program is expected to provide the Bank and other multilateral partners, as well as their constituents, with a comprehensive mapping of promising practices in youth development executed at the local level. Furthermore, this program is expected to set the foundation for a sustainable Knowledge Management system on youth development that includes the most promising practices in the core eight areas identified. Additionally, the results of this project will support our governments' efforts that are seeking innovative approaches to youth development through programs and interventions that have some evidence of success.

B. Target beneficiaries

- 6.2 The primary target beneficiaries of this program are young people in the LAC Region. Secondary beneficiaries include youth organizations, NGOs, and local governments providing youth services in the Region. Finally, this program will support collaboration and knowledge sharing between the Bank, and the other multilateral agencies collaborating on this initiative (UNESCO, ILO, OAS, PAHO, UNFPA, UNICEF, CEPAL, OIJ)

C. Risks

- 6.3 Challenging aspects of this project are for the most part logistical due to the number of organizations involved in the initiative. Second, the implementation of knowledge management and innovation processes has many dimensions and associated barriers, including cultural/social/behavioral and organizational/ institutional. No “magic bullet” information technology solution can foster knowledge sharing and collaboration among people who resist openness or whose incentives are aligned against it. To mitigate both risks the Bank is proposing to hire a Research Fellow who will take on the responsibilities of coordinating efforts and making sure that the technical inputs and knowledge sharing created during the process is meaningful. Furthermore, the Bank will work with KNL specialists that will support all of the knowledge management objectives and activities.

VII. ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL ASPECTS

A. Date of ESR review

- 7.1 The Environmental and Social Impact Review Secretariat (ESR) reviewed and cleared the project profile on 9 December 2008, confirming the operation’s classification as category “C.”

B. Measures taken to avoid negative social and environmental impacts

- 7.2 The present project will have no effect on environmental conditions in the region. In terms of social impact, it is expected that the project activities will address causes of social exclusion of youth and youth risky behavior. In accordance with the Safeguard Policy Filter Report (2008-10221152-2), the project will not require complementary social and environmental actions. Similarly, according to the Safeguard Screening Form (2008-10221534-2), this technical cooperation operation was classified as a category “C” project.

VIII. CERTIFICATION

- 8.1 I hereby certify that this operation was approved for financing under the Korean Poverty Reduction Fund (KPR) through a letter dated on April 7, 2009 and signed by Kangho Lee, Director of International Financial Institutions Division, International Finance Bureau, Ministry of Strategy and Finance of the Republic of Korea. Also, I certify that resources from the Korean Poverty Reduction Fund (KPR) are available for up to US\$165,000 in order to finance the activities described and budgeted in this document. This certification reserves resources for the referenced project for a period of eight (8) calendar months counted from the date of signature below. If the project is not approved by the IDB within that period, the reserve of resources will be cancelled, except in the case a new certification is granted. The commitment and disbursement of these resources shall be made only by the Bank in US dollars. The same currency shall be used to stipulate the remuneration and payments to consultants, except in the case of local consultants working in their own borrowing member country who shall have their remuneration defined and paid in the currency of such country. No resources of the Fund shall be made available to cover amounts greater than the amount certified herein above for the implementation of this Plan of Operations. Amounts greater than the certified amount may arise from commitments on contracts denominated in a currency other than the Fund currency, resulting in currency exchange rate differences, for which the Fund is not at risk.

(Original signed)

8/18/09

Marguerite S. Berger
Chief
Grants and Cofinancing Management Unit
VPC/GCM

Date

IX. APPROVAL

(Original signed)

8/20/09

Michael Jacobs
Chief
SCL/SPH

Date

BEST PRACTICES IN YOUTH PROGRAMS AND POLICIES IN LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN

(RG-T1653)

LOGICAL FRAMEWORK

Objectives	Indicators	Means of verification	Assumptions
Goal			
Identify and promote promising approaches to youth development currently employed by LAC governments and local non-governmental organizations in the following eight core areas: (i) education; (ii) employment; (iii) violence prevention; (iv) health; (v) volunteerism; (vi) leadership/participation; (vii) poverty reduction; and (viii) integrated-holistic approaches to youth development.	Two years after project completion: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The project has to identify best practices, policies, projects and evaluation instruments for youth development. - Information about best practices for youth development has been disseminated to governments, NGOs, private-sector youth service providers and multilateral organizations. - New projects for youth development have been incorporated M&E systems to measure policies and program interventions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reports on projects identified as best practices in the eight core areas. - The Youth Development Knowledge Network is intended to foster coordination and cooperation among members. - Updated reports of the knowledge repository system. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The countries' macroeconomic and political stability are maintained. - Program objectives are maintained.
Purpose			
The purpose is three-fold: 1) to create solid collaborative and coordinating mechanisms within the multilateral agencies and with the direct youth-service providers/policy makers; 2) to	On project completion: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 70% of the interventions for Youth Development have coordinating mechanisms that link the multilateral agencies, direct youth- service providers and policy makers. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Analytical reports of the Youth Development Knowledge Network. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Results of the studies are available in a timely manner. - There is effective

Objectives	Indicators	Means of verification	Assumptions
	- A knowledge management system in operation to allow the continuous dissemination of information about promising and effective youth intervention initiatives among the different stakeholders.	- Analytical reports of the Web-based collaborative knowledge network	
	- Proper evaluation methodologies and an agreement of common indicators in youth development are available.	- New Bank-financed projects for youth development using evaluation methodologies and an agreement of common indicators	
Components			
Component 1. Establishing of a Youth Development Knowledge Network			
Establish a youth development knowledge network between key multilaterals and youth service providers in LAC and pilot a Web-based collaborative tool for the network	On project completion: - One Regional conference to recognize the promising practices/programs selected, disseminate lessons learned and stimulate the inter-organizational coordination and exchange	- Proceedings of the regional conference	The necessary information and tools are available to establish a youth development knowledge network.
	- One Web-based collaborative youth development knowledge network is created.	- Uploaded documents to share information - Surveys presented - Traffic reports - Uploaded videos and other materials on relevant topics in youth development - Availability of user manuals and content manager.	
	- Dissemination of findings summarizing best practices and lessons learned related to youth development	- Publication available online - Printed publication	
	- At least eight organizations use the knowledge management system on a consistent basis, collaborate and exchange knowledge of youth development	- Internet usage data -Inter-agency meeting minutes	

Objectives	Indicators	Means of verification	Assumptions
Component 2. Evaluation methodologies and definition of uniform indicators in youth development			
<p>The objectives of this component are to: (i) deepen the knowledge of institutional arrangements and results management; (ii) strengthen evaluation methodologies for the purpose of developing an inventory of best practices; (iii) provide technical support to enhance evaluation methodologies of the winning promising practices; (iv) analyze arrangements for M&E and efforts to improve youth-at-risk outcomes; and (v) determine systematic and uniform indicators for measuring youth interventions in the eight core areas.</p>	<p>On project completion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Evaluation methodologies and suggested indicators of all projects have been revised - - One suggested evaluation methodology available for each selected and winner best practices - One document on general recommendations for all best practices candidatures to evaluate youth 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Consultant Reports - Publication available online - Printed publication. 	<p>The necessary information and tools are available to establish a youth development knowledge network.</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - At least 1 document per each core area on systematic and uniform indicators to measure youth interventions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Consultant Reports - Publication available online - Printed publication 	

BEST PRACTICES IN YOUTH PROGRAMS AND POLICIES IN LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN

(RG-T1653) DETAILED BUDGET

	Components/Subcomponents	Source of financing		
		IDB	Other contributions	Total
	Component I. Establishing a Youth Development Knowledge Network			
1.1	Identification of best practices		35,000	35,000
1.2	Regional Conference	32,160	70,000	102,160
	<i>Exhibit area</i>	7,000		7,000
	<i>Award</i>	5,000		5,000
	<i>Participation of representatives of best practices</i>	20,160		20,160
1.3	Youth Development Knowledge Network	20,000		20,000
	<i>Research assistant (80 working days x US \$250)</i>	20,000		20,000
1.4	Web- based Youth Development Knowledge Network	23,200		23,200
	<i>Web Developer (40 working days x US \$280)</i>	11,200		11,200
	<i>Research assistant (60 working days x US \$200)</i>	12,000		12,000
1.5	Dissemination of findings	15,000		15,000
	<i>Editor</i>	10,000		10,000
	<i>Publication</i>	5,000		5,000
	SUBTOTAL	90,360	105,000	195,360
	Component II. Evaluation methodologies and definition of uniform indicators			
2.1	Evaluation Methodology for youth development projects (35 working days x US \$500)	17,500		17,500
2.2	Systematic and uniform indicators per each core area in youth development (40 working days x US \$500)	20,000		20,000
2.3	Technical support on evaluation methodologies, data analysis and to strengthen their execution (40 working days x US \$350)	14,000		14,000
2.4	Dissemination of findings	20,000		20,000
	<i>Editor</i>	8,000		8,000

	Components/Subcomponents	Source of financing		
		IDB	Other contributions	Total
	<i>Publication</i>	5,000		5,000
	<i>Video</i>	7,000		7,000
	SUBTOTAL	71,500		71,500
	<i>Contingencies</i>	3,140		3,140
	TOTAL	<u>165,000</u>	<u>105,000</u>	<u>270,000</u>

Inter-American Development Bank
Project Procurement Division (DEV/PRM)

**Project: Best Practices in Youth Programs and Policies in Latin America and the Caribbean
(RG-T1653)**

Period included in this Procurement Plan: From: 08/2009 until 08/2010

Ref. No. ¹	Description and type of the procurement contract	Estimated Contract Cost (US\$000)	Procurement method ²	Review (ex-ante or ex-post)	Source of financing and percentage		Pre-qualification ³ (Yes/No)	Estimated dates		Status ⁴ (pending, in process, awarded, cancelled)	Comments
					IDB %	Local/ Other %		Publication of specific procurement notice	Completion of contract		
	1. <u>Goods</u> o Good 1 Award for best practices	5,000	PC	N.A	100		No	August 09	September 09		

¹ If a number of similar individual contracts were to be executed in different places or at different times, these can be grouped together under a single heading, with an explanation in the comments column indicating the average individual contract amount and the period during which they would be executed. For example, an education project that includes school construction might include an item “school construction” for a total of US\$20 million, and an explanation in the comments column such as: “This encompasses some 200 contracts for school construction averaging US\$100,000 each, to be awarded individually by participating municipal governments over a three-year period between January 2006 and December 2008.”

² **Goods and Works**: **ICB**: International competitive bidding; **LIB**: limited international bidding; **NCB**: national competitive bidding; **PC**: price comparison; **DC**: direct contracting; **FA**: force account; **PSA**: Procurement through Specialized Agencies; **PA**: Procurement Agents; **IA**: Inspection Agents; **PLFI**: Procurement in Loans to Financial Intermediaries; **BOO/BOT/BOOT**: Build, Own, Operate/Build, Operate, Transfer/Build, Own, Operate, Transfer; **PBP**: Performance-Based Procurement; **PLGB**: Procurement under Loans Guaranteed by the Bank; **PCP**: Community participation procurement. **Consulting Firms**: **QCBS**: Quality- and Cost-Based Selection **QBS**: Quality-Based Selection **FBS**: Selection under a Fixed Budget; **LCS**: Least-Cost Selection; **CQS**: Selection based on the Consultants’ Qualifications; **SSS**: Single-Source Selection. **Individual Consultants**: **NICQ**: National Individual Consultant selection based on Qualifications; **IICC**: International Individual Consultant selection based on Qualifications

³ In the case of new Policies it applies only for Goods and Works. In the case Old Procurement Policies it applies for Goods, Works and Consulting Services.

⁴ This column “Status” should be used for retroactive procurement and for procurement plan updates.

Inter-American Development Bank
Project Procurement Division (DEV/PRM)

Ref. No. ¹	Description and type of the procurement contract	Estimated Contract Cost (US\$000)	Procurement method ²	Review (ex-ante or ex-post)	Source of financing and percentage		Pre-qualification ³ (Yes/No)	Estimated dates		Status ⁴ (pending, in process, awarded, cancelled)	Comments
					IDB %	Local/ Other %		Publication of specific procurement notice	Completion of contract		
	2. Non-consulting services ○ Service 1 Exhibit area	7,000		N.A	100		No	October 09	November 09		
	Consulting services										
	○ Consulting services 1 Youth Development Knowledge Network Research Fellow	20,000	IICC	N.A	100		No	August 09	January 10		
	○ Consulting services 2 Web Developer for Youth Development Knowledge Network	11,200	IICC	N.A	100		No	August 09	December 09		
	○ Consulting Services 3 Research Assistant	12,000	IICC	N.A	100		No	August 09	December 09		
	○ Consulting services 4 Evaluation methodology for youth development projects	17,500	IICC	N.A	100		No	August 09	November 09		

Inter-American Development Bank
Project Procurement Division (DEV/PRM)

Ref. No. ¹	Description and type of the procurement contract	Estimated Contract Cost (US\$000)	Procurement method ²	Review (ex-ante or ex-post)	Source of financing and percentage		Pre- qualifi- cation ³ (Yes/No)	Estimated dates		Status ⁴ (pending, in process, awarded, cancelled)	Comments
					IDB %	Local/ Other %		Publication of specific procurement notice	Completion of contract		
	○ Consulting services 5 Systematic and uniform indicators per each core area in youth development	20,000	IICC	N.A	100		No	August 09	December 09		
	○ Consulting services 6 Technical support on evaluation methodologies and data analysis	14,000	IICC	N.A	100		No	August 09	December 09		